

Site Allocations June 2013

1. Are you a resident of Rawdon?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	98.8%	484
No	1.2%	6
<i>answered question</i>		484
<i>skipped question</i>		0

2. What are your views on future housing requirements in Rawdon?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Rawdon needs a mix of new housing	26.7%	119
Rawdon needs "first time buyer" housing	10.5%	47
Rawdon needs family housing	11.2%	50
Another statement (please write below)	51.6%	230
Another statement		263
<i>answered question</i>		446
<i>skipped question</i>		40

231 alternate cor

Number	Response Date	Another statement	Categories	None needed
1	Jul 25, 2013 7:08 PM	no new housing		x
2	Jul 25, 2013 7:01 PM	does not need anymore housing in		x
3	Jul 25, 2013 6:57 PM	none needed until infrastructure better		
4	Jul 25, 2013 6:53 PM	if rawdon needs more housing it should be mixed		
5	Jul 25, 2013 6:47 PM	I don't believe rawdon should be developed further		
6	Jul 25, 2013 6:42 PM	rawdon needs housing in sensible areas. not on are		
7	Jul 25, 2013 6:26 PM	rawdon has sufficient housing to maintain its village		
8	Jul 25, 2013 6:11 PM	brown field sites only		
9	Jul 25, 2013 6:08 PM	needs no further housing		x
10	Jul 25, 2013 6:03 PM	Rawdon already has a wide variety of housing type:		
11	Jul 25, 2013 5:55 PM	rawdon needs affordable housing. this might be firs		
12	Jul 25, 2013 5:49 PM	rawdon should not be overdeveloped and green are		
13	Jul 25, 2013 5:41 PM	I think rawdon has enough develo		x
14	Jul 25, 2013 5:35 PM	it is difficult to know what the "nee		x
15	Jul 25, 2013 4:56 PM	rawdon shouldn't have any more		x
16	Jul 25, 2013 4:53 PM	rawdon already has sufficient hou		x
17	Jul 25, 2013 4:38 PM	why does rawdon need any new h		
18	Jul 25, 2013 3:45 PM	no new houses till transport issues resolved		
19	Jul 25, 2013 3:42 PM	brownfield only		
20	Jul 25, 2013 3:39 PM	rawdon full		x
21	Jul 25, 2013 3:35 PM	no further housing		x
22	Jul 25, 2013 3:27 PM	any development must be kept within reason - bear		
23	Jul 25, 2013 3:23 PM	we cannot absorb any more traffic which new housi		
24	Jul 25, 2013 2:56 PM	Rawdon does not need any further development un		
25	Jul 25, 2013 2:50 PM	a mix of new family housing		
26	Jul 25, 2013 2:21 PM	There are many houses which ha		x
27	Jul 25, 2013 2:14 PM	In order to maintain Rawdons identity as a village a		
28	Jul 25, 2013 12:22 PM	development should be limited - public transport, rc		
29	Jul 25, 2013 10:37 AM	Little/none? Not aware of any hou		x

30 Jul 25, 2013 10:04 AM The unique character of Rawdon would be adverse

31 Jul 25, 2013 9:35 AM no more housing x

32 Jul 25, 2013 9:33 AM Rawdon already has had a lot of new housing arou

33 Jul 25, 2013 9:24 AM I think that there is adequate hous x

34 Jul 25, 2013 9:23 AM Cottage style retirement housing

35 Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM We do not believe that Rawdon ne x

36 Jul 25, 2013 9:13 AM rawdon does not need more housi x

37 Jul 25, 2013 9:08 AM Rawdon "village" doesn't need mc x

38 Jul 24, 2013 2:46 PM rawdon can only cope with additional housing if the

39 Jul 24, 2013 2:42 PM rawdon is already busy and over subscribed - so n

40 Jul 24, 2013 2:16 PM Rawdon doesn't need any mass n x

41 Jul 24, 2013 2:11 PM rawdon doesn't need more housing - it is already o

42 Jul 24, 2013 2:05 PM greenfield sites should be protected

43 Jul 24, 2013 2:00 PM especially family housing with decent sized plots

44 Jul 24, 2013 1:40 PM leave rawdon as it is x

45 Jul 24, 2013 1:36 PM but it needs to be quality housing

46 Jul 24, 2013 1:35 PM rawdon has sufficient housing x

47 Jul 24, 2013 1:32 PM need to consider the local area though

48 Jul 24, 2013 1:30 PM The infrastructure does not allow i x

49 Jul 24, 2013 1:29 PM radwon doesn't need more house: x

50 Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM no more houses needed x

51 Jul 24, 2013 1:27 PM rawdon doesn't need any more ho x

52 Jul 24, 2013 1:26 PM should have first time buyer and houses with a spec

53 Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM no more houses needed x

54 Jul 24, 2013 1:19 PM common sense no more houses n x

55 Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM Rawdon big enough no more hous: x

56 Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM Rawdon has quite enough housin: x

57 Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM already over congested

58 Jul 24, 2013 1:08 PM Rawdon does not need anymore c x

59 Jul 24, 2013 1:07 PM not until infrastructure improves

60 Jul 24, 2013 1:05 PM Smaller retirement housing (not flats) to free up far

61 Jul 24, 2013 1:04 PM first thought - there are already su x

62 Jul 24, 2013 1:04 PM no more houses needed x

63 Jul 24, 2013 1:01 PM no more houses needed x

64 Jul 24, 2013 12:59 PM Rawdon needs new housing for over fifties

65 Jul 24, 2013 12:57 PM rawdon has enough housing x

66 Jul 24, 2013 12:56 PM rawdon is village no more houses needed

67 Jul 24, 2013 12:52 PM no more housing x

68 Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM rawdon is full x

69 Jul 24, 2013 12:49 PM no more houses needed x

70 Jul 24, 2013 12:44 PM insufficient infrastructure

71 Jul 24, 2013 12:43 PM rawdon has more than enough x

72 Jul 24, 2013 12:41 PM no more housing x

73 Jul 24, 2013 12:39 PM already adequate housing x

74 Jul 24, 2013 12:37 PM infrastructure projects should be approved first

75 Jul 24, 2013 12:35 PM none x

76 Jul 24, 2013 12:30 PM small bungalows

77 Jul 24, 2013 12:29 PM no obvious need x

78 Jul 24, 2013 12:26 PM has enough housing x

79 Jul 24, 2013 12:19 PM does rawdon need more housing? x

80 Jul 24, 2013 12:17 PM insufficient infrastructure

81 Jul 24, 2013 11:08 AM Rawdon does not need more hous: x

82 Jul 24, 2013 11:02 AM Rawdon does not need any subst: x

83 Jul 24, 2013 10:50 AM Rawdon needs no more housing x

84 Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM enough homes to meet demand x

85 Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM Rawdon doesn't need any more h: x

86	Jul 24, 2013 10:41 AM	unsuitable for housing due to traffic issues	
87	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	Rawdon has plenty of houses for	x
88	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	Rawdon needs minimal no of good quality housing	
89	Jul 24, 2013 10:32 AM	Rawdon has more than enough h	x
90	Jul 24, 2013 10:27 AM	None	x
91	Jul 24, 2013 10:06 AM	Rawdon infrastructure cannot support significant in	
92	Jul 24, 2013 10:01 AM	No new housing	x
93	Jul 24, 2013 9:51 AM	Rawdon does not need any new h	x
94	Jul 24, 2013 9:46 AM	Rawdon does not need any more	x
95	Jul 24, 2013 9:43 AM	I don't see how Rawdon can acco	x
96	Jul 24, 2013 9:36 AM	no does not need, congested already	
97	Jul 24, 2013 9:31 AM	including social housing to be provided by the auth	
98	Jul 24, 2013 9:30 AM	Rawdon has been built up enough. We need to prot	
99	Jul 24, 2013 9:17 AM	Rawdon has enough houses for a small village	
100	Jul 24, 2013 9:15 AM	No further housing should be allowed to be built the	
101	Jul 24, 2013 9:12 AM	No further houses needed	x
102	Jul 24, 2013 9:04 AM	Roads are already chaotic. Each new house seems	
103	Jul 24, 2013 8:56 AM	infrastructure will not support more housing	
104	Jul 23, 2013 3:38 PM	no more will loose village character	
105	Jul 23, 2013 3:36 PM	Rawdon is a village and would be ruined with more	
106	Jul 23, 2013 3:33 PM	Rawdon does not need additional housing - would I	
107	Jul 23, 2013 3:24 PM	maybe some 'first time' otherwise no!	
108	Jul 23, 2013 3:17 PM	without additional employment oppertunities housin	
109	Jul 23, 2013 3:13 PM	Non high rise flats for young/elderly	
110	Jul 23, 2013 1:36 PM	Don't need any schools full, keep fields and semi ru	
111	Jul 23, 2013 1:27 PM	only small scale	
112	Jul 23, 2013 1:24 PM	insufficient infrastructure	
113	Jul 23, 2013 1:21 PM	no more housing required	x
114	Jul 23, 2013 1:16 PM	Don't know if Rawdon needs new	x
115	Jul 23, 2013 1:11 PM	safeguard the surrounding countryside from further	
116	Jul 23, 2013 12:27 PM	no more housing required	x
117	Jul 23, 2013 12:25 PM	small developments would put less pressure on loc	
118	Jul 23, 2013 12:09 PM	no more housing required	x
119	Jul 23, 2013 12:07 PM	no more housing	x
120	Jul 23, 2013 12:04 PM	enough housing, too much traffic, keep green belt	
121	Jul 23, 2013 12:00 PM	Rawdon does not need any furthe	x
122	Jul 23, 2013 11:20 AM	rawdon needs no more housing	x
123	Jul 23, 2013 11:18 AM	rawdon needs no housing, already	x
124	Jul 23, 2013 11:16 AM	how assessed and by whom?	
125	Jul 23, 2013 11:14 AM	we don't need new housing	x
126	Jul 23, 2013 11:09 AM	no more housing	x
127	Jul 23, 2013 11:02 AM	we need housing already here not to be taken by pe	
128	Jul 23, 2013 10:43 AM	Rawdon does not need a high lev	x
129	Jul 23, 2013 10:42 AM	Rawdon is a mature village and needs very little de	
130	Jul 23, 2013 10:27 AM	rawdon has sufficient housing any additional buildir	
131	Jul 23, 2013 10:24 AM	rawdon does not need more housi	x
132	Jul 23, 2013 10:22 AM	I don't know if rawdon needs more housing	
133	Jul 23, 2013 10:20 AM	rawdon needs no more housing	x
134	Jul 23, 2013 10:14 AM	there is no requirement for further	x
135	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	no further housing	x
136	Jul 23, 2013 9:05 AM	most importantly affordable	
137	Jul 23, 2013 9:02 AM	There are plenty of brownfield sites inner city	
138	Jul 23, 2013 8:59 AM	Rawdon does not have facilities to accommodate a	
139	Jul 23, 2013 8:54 AM	I am not certain rawdon needs fur	x
140	Jul 23, 2013 8:53 AM	Roads and schools (people parking round schools	
141	Jul 23, 2013 8:49 AM	sufficient housing already exists	x

142	Jul 22, 2013 3:09 PM	improve transport infrastructure before anymore ho
143	Jul 22, 2013 3:04 PM	no future housing requirments
144	Jul 22, 2013 2:51 PM	does rawdon need new housing?
145	Jul 22, 2013 2:49 PM	no more houses x
146	Jul 22, 2013 2:42 PM	Rawdon needs no more housing, schools, docotrs,
147	Jul 22, 2013 2:41 PM	Rawdon doesn't need new housin x
148	Jul 22, 2013 2:40 PM	Rawdon does not need more housing aireborough :
149	Jul 22, 2013 2:37 PM	rawdon is already adequately pro\ x
150	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	rawdon does not need any new hc x
151	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	no more building x
152	Jul 22, 2013 2:31 PM	not required unless more roads built
153	Jul 22, 2013 2:27 PM	rawdon does not need anymore housing
154	Jul 22, 2013 2:18 PM	An architect told me 50 years ago x
155	Jul 22, 2013 2:06 PM	I am not convinced that Rawdon n x
156	Jul 22, 2013 1:59 PM	No new housing developments should be built in R:
157	Jul 22, 2013 1:06 PM	but not on green fields
158	Jul 22, 2013 12:58 PM	No suitable land - there is more st x
159	Jul 22, 2013 12:49 PM	rawdon is already congested as it is, it needs no m
160	Jul 22, 2013 12:48 PM	I am not convinced that Rawdon n x
161	Jul 22, 2013 12:45 PM	Do not really feel any additional h\ x
162	Jul 22, 2013 12:45 PM	Does Rawdon need more housing x
163	Jul 22, 2013 12:44 PM	rawdon cannot support any more housing due to in
164	Jul 22, 2013 12:41 PM	Rawdon does not need any type c x
165	Jul 22, 2013 12:39 PM	Rawdon only needs small in fill housing
166	Jul 22, 2013 12:36 PM	the infrastructure etc just about copes with the num
167	Jul 22, 2013 12:35 PM	I don't feel that rawdon needs anymore housing, th
168	Jul 22, 2013 12:33 PM	The roads are close to gridlock, schools are full, a l
169	Jul 22, 2013 12:32 PM	none required, it needs to keep its character
170	Jul 22, 2013 12:29 PM	Rawdon is built up enough, if any x
171	Jul 22, 2013 12:22 PM	Rawdon needs green spaces for families to be outd
172	Jul 22, 2013 12:20 PM	Not need more housing, lack of infrastructure, scho
173	Jul 22, 2013 12:19 PM	would like assurances over infrastructure, roads etc
174	Jul 22, 2013 12:16 PM	doews rawdon really need new hc x
175	Jul 22, 2013 12:15 PM	Rawdon has enough housing x
176	Jul 22, 2013 12:13 PM	there is no need for further housin x
177	Jul 22, 2013 12:12 PM	Rawdon doesnt need more housir x
178	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	I don't think Rawdon needs any hc x
179	Jul 22, 2013 11:59 AM	Rawdon is sufficently built up as it x
180	Jul 22, 2013 11:57 AM	No new housing in Rawdon without improved infras
181	Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM	Access out of rawdon at peak times is difficult maki
182	Jul 22, 2013 11:54 AM	Rawdon is big enough x
183	Jul 22, 2013 11:53 AM	Does not need more housing x
184	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	needs no further housing x
185	Jul 22, 2013 11:49 AM	rawdon annot sustain any further l x
186	Jul 22, 2013 11:47 AM	rawdon does not need new housir x
187	Jul 22, 2013 11:45 AM	All three
188	Jul 22, 2013 11:35 AM	Rawdon doesn't need any new ho x
189	Jul 22, 2013 11:33 AM	does it need any new houses, it is a village
190	Jul 22, 2013 11:33 AM	Rawdon needs high quality, select properties not fla
191	Jul 22, 2013 11:21 AM	New to area
192	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	Rawdon doesn't need any new ho x
193	Jul 22, 2013 11:19 AM	Rawdon needs no new housing x
194	Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM	No additional housing is required i x
195	Jul 22, 2013 11:11 AM	Infrastructure, esp the road network in and around l
196	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	Social (low rent) housing for low paid
197	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	None Required x

198 Jul 22, 2013 11:02 AM Excessive traffic congestion on A65 does not warra
 199 Jul 22, 2013 11:01 AM each site to, as far as possible, to provide a sense c
 200 Jul 22, 2013 10:58 AM Rawdon does not have the capaci x
 201 Jul 22, 2013 10:57 AM no further development - A65 already gridlocked
 202 Jul 22, 2013 10:53 AM don't know - does rawdon need rr x
 203 Jul 22, 2013 10:48 AM Does not need new building x
 204 Jul 22, 2013 10:44 AM There should only be limited development as there
 205 Jul 22, 2013 10:43 AM any new houses should match the size of adjacent l
 206 Jul 22, 2013 10:38 AM rawdon needs family housing
 207 Jul 22, 2013 10:38 AM Without knowing how oversubscribed or undersubs
 208 Jul 22, 2013 10:37 AM It doesn't need any x
 209 Jul 22, 2013 10:34 AM Rawdon needs no more housing c x
 210 Jul 22, 2013 10:32 AM Rawdon does not need more hous x
 211 Jul 22, 2013 10:30 AM like it as it is x
 212 Jul 22, 2013 10:27 AM minimal housing development because road traffic
 213 Jul 22, 2013 10:26 AM Rawdon requires no further housi x
 214 Jul 22, 2013 10:25 AM Rawdon does not need huge deve x
 215 Jul 22, 2013 10:24 AM rawdon needs a mix of new housing
 216 Jul 22, 2013 10:23 AM Rawdon doesn't need any more h x
 217 Jul 22, 2013 10:19 AM is there a housing shortage in Rawdon?
 218 Jul 22, 2013 10:18 AM Not suitable for building on x
 219 Jul 22, 2013 10:14 AM no new housing needed x
 220 Jul 22, 2013 10:12 AM New housing will require more amenities (doctors,
 221 Jul 22, 2013 10:09 AM 5-6 bedroom houses for blended or extended famili
 222 Jul 22, 2013 10:04 AM As the A65 runs through Rawdon, all development
 223 Jul 22, 2013 10:02 AM Rawdon is not in need of any mor x
 224 Jul 22, 2013 9:56 AM Rawdon will lose its identity and become part of the
 225 Jul 22, 2013 9:52 AM We do not want any more housing in the area at all
 226 Jul 22, 2013 9:47 AM Rawdon is pretty big now, I don't t x
 227 Jul 22, 2013 9:39 AM Rawdon has plenty of housing sui x
 228 Jul 22, 2013 9:36 AM Rawdon cannot support more hou x
 229 Jul 22, 2013 9:31 AM I don't think Rawdon needs more l x
 230 Jul 22, 2013 9:28 AM why do we need more housing, to x
 231 Jul 22, 2013 9:22 AM is there evidence that Rawdon nei x
 232 Jul 22, 2013 9:15 AM Rawdon needs no housing x
 233 Jul 22, 2013 8:15 AM I think rawdon has sufficient x
 234 Jul 22, 2013 8:11 AM Rawdon doesn't need anymore hc x
 235 Jul 22, 2013 8:03 AM Rawdon needs infrastructure first ie no traffic jamsr
 236 Jul 22, 2013 7:51 AM we have no room for more housin x
 237 Jul 22, 2013 7:44 AM not sure it needs any x
 238 Jul 19, 2013 2:36 PM Rawdon is splitting at the seams e x
 239 Jul 19, 2013 2:25 PM judging by the number of 'For sale x
 240 Jul 19, 2013 1:55 PM Rawdon is already over populated with regards to s
 241 Jul 19, 2013 1:53 PM Does Rawdon need further housi x
 242 Jul 19, 2013 1:50 PM Rawdon needs no further housing x
 243 Jul 19, 2013 1:47 PM Local infrastructure to be improved first
 244 Jul 19, 2013 1:29 PM Rawdon needs no MORE housing, local amenities
 245 Jul 18, 2013 4:06 PM Rawdon does not need large hous x
 246 Jul 18, 2013 3:56 PM no housing - no infrastructure to support it
 247 Jul 18, 2013 3:53 PM the infrastructure is not there to support new house
 248 Jul 18, 2013 3:49 PM Rawdon doesn't need any new ho x
 249 Jul 18, 2013 3:45 PM traffic congestion and poor transport links mean the
 250 Jul 18, 2013 3:36 PM None Required - full up x
 251 Jul 18, 2013 11:07 AM we don't need more housing in this area. The infras
 252 Jul 12, 2013 8:40 AM rawdon does not need any more h x
 253 Jul 11, 2013 9:45 AM no new housing - maintain green spaces

254	Jul 11, 2013 9:39 AM	Does Rawdon really need any new
255	Jul 11, 2013 9:08 AM	no more housing x
256	Jul 9, 2013 8:17 PM	The local infrastructure is at breaking point and car
257	Jul 9, 2013 4:48 PM	Rawdon does not "need" new hou x
258	Jul 8, 2013 8:49 PM	Small scale quality family housing
259	Jul 2, 2013 7:18 PM	Rawdon is fully developed and needs no new hous
260	Jul 2, 2013 3:15 PM	Rawdon does NOT need more housing. There is no
261	Jun 27, 2013 10:32 AM	Rawdon doesnt need any more hc x
262	Jun 24, 2013 5:14 PM	Rawdon is built up enough, no hoix
263	Jun 22, 2013 8:32 PM	Rawdon is a beautiful village and building on the fe'

139

3. What size of sites do you think any housing should be built on?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
A few larger sites	2.7%	12	
Only small sites	54.8%	246	
A mix of large and small sites	10.9%	49	
Another statement (please write below)	31.6%	142	131 alternate cor
Another statement		162	
		answered question	449
		skipped question	37

Number	Response Date	Another statement	Categories	none
1	Jul 25, 2013 7:08 PM	no sites unless replacing an existing dwellings		
2	Jul 25, 2013 7:03 PM	with appropriate spacing, not crammed in		
3	Jul 25, 2013 7:01 PM	as above		
4	Jul 25, 2013 6:47 PM	if housing HAS to be built, on small brownfield sites		
5	Jul 25, 2013 6:42 PM	we need to keep our village feeling		
6	Jul 25, 2013 6:11 PM	as above		
7	Jul 25, 2013 6:08 PM	none		x
8	Jul 25, 2013 5:41 PM	none		x
9	Jul 25, 2013 4:56 PM	none		x
10	Jul 25, 2013 4:53 PM	small sites on brownfield sites only		
11	Jul 25, 2013 4:38 PM	do not support new housing devel x		
12	Jul 25, 2013 3:45 PM	small sites not spoiling countryside preferably brow		
13	Jul 25, 2013 3:42 PM	on brownfield		
14	Jul 25, 2013 3:39 PM	small and few		
15	Jul 25, 2013 2:56 PM	no development		x
16	Jul 25, 2013 2:21 PM	This is irrelevant it should be relat x		
17	Jul 25, 2013 1:39 PM	only where access is in existence already		
18	Jul 25, 2013 10:04 AM	Only brownfield sites		
19	Jul 25, 2013 9:33 AM	under duress if we have anything it should be small		
20	Jul 25, 2013 9:27 AM	brownfield sites wherever possible		
21	Jul 25, 2013 9:24 AM	none		x
22	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	see above		
23	Jul 25, 2013 9:08 AM	Keep Rawdon a village		
24	Jul 24, 2013 2:42 PM	none		x
25	Jul 24, 2013 2:05 PM	as above		
26	Jul 24, 2013 1:40 PM	small brownfield only		
27	Jul 24, 2013 1:35 PM	prioritise the preservation of greenbelt land over ne		
28	Jul 24, 2013 1:30 PM	brownfield		
29	Jul 24, 2013 1:29 PM	don't think it should		x
30	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	no more houses needed infrastruc x		

31	Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM	no more houses needed	x
32	Jul 24, 2013 1:19 PM	no more houses needed	x
33	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	no more houses needed	x
34	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	if the land is greenbelt it should be x	
35	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	no more houses needed	x
36	Jul 24, 2013 1:04 PM	none	x
37	Jul 24, 2013 1:01 PM	none	x
38	Jul 24, 2013 1:00 PM	brown sites	
39	Jul 24, 2013 12:57 PM	only small brownfield sites, not carving up fields and	
40	Jul 24, 2013 12:56 PM	no more houses needed	x
41	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	rawdun is full	x
42	Jul 24, 2013 12:44 PM	none	x
43	Jul 24, 2013 12:39 PM	no more housing	x
44	Jul 24, 2013 12:37 PM	brown sites	
45	Jul 24, 2013 12:29 PM	only small	
46	Jul 24, 2013 12:26 PM	sites that need redeveloping regardless of size	
47	Jul 24, 2013 12:19 PM	spaces should be left free	
48	Jul 24, 2013 12:17 PM	none, but if they have to build small x	
49	Jul 24, 2013 11:02 AM	provided there is no greenbelt/field destruction	
50	Jul 24, 2013 10:50 AM	None Rawdon is already overbuilt x	
51	Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM	enough homes to meet demand	x
52	Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM	No sites	x
53	Jul 24, 2013 10:41 AM	area not suitable	x
54	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	none	x
55	Jul 24, 2013 10:27 AM	None	x
56	Jul 24, 2013 10:09 AM	Land that already has a building on it	
57	Jul 24, 2013 9:46 AM	very very small	
58	Jul 24, 2013 9:31 AM	brownfield with assessment for impact to infrastructure	
59	Jul 24, 2013 9:15 AM	Derelict lands only	
60	Jul 24, 2013 9:12 AM	No further houses needed	x
61	Jul 24, 2013 9:04 AM	extremely limited building to avoid damage to the environment	
62	Jul 23, 2013 3:33 PM	none	x
63	Jul 23, 2013 3:24 PM	none keep rawdon green	
64	Jul 23, 2013 1:36 PM	none	x
65	Jul 23, 2013 1:24 PM	only demolition of unsuitable sites and rebuilds should be allowed	
66	Jul 23, 2013 1:21 PM	no more housing required	x
67	Jul 23, 2013 1:11 PM	none to prevent neighbouring villages	
68	Jul 23, 2013 12:27 PM	no more housing required	x
69	Jul 23, 2013 12:07 PM	no more housing	x
70	Jul 23, 2013 12:04 PM	brown filed protect green belt	
71	Jul 23, 2013 12:00 PM	If at all	x
72	Jul 23, 2013 11:20 AM	not sure what is meant by large or small	
73	Jul 23, 2013 11:18 AM	no sites at all	x
74	Jul 23, 2013 11:14 AM	size is not the issue, location is what I'm worried about	
75	Jul 23, 2013 11:02 AM	development of small sites only that fit in area large	
76	Jul 23, 2013 10:48 AM	whats small, whats large	
77	Jul 23, 2013 10:42 AM	a65/ring road must be improved before any more housing	
78	Jul 23, 2013 10:27 AM	none of the proposed sites	x
79	Jul 23, 2013 10:24 AM	rawdun is a village not a town	
80	Jul 23, 2013 10:20 AM	no more sites	x
81	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	no further housing	x
82	Jul 23, 2013 9:02 AM	local infrastructure can't cope with more houses	
83	Jul 23, 2013 8:59 AM	There should be a freeze until facilities (ie doctors, shops, etc)	
84	Jul 23, 2013 8:54 AM	again - not sure rawdon needs any more	x
85	Jul 23, 2013 8:53 AM	None the roads are congested as	x
86	Jul 22, 2013 3:18 PM	brownfield sites	

87	Jul 22, 2013 3:04 PM	none	x
88	Jul 22, 2013 2:49 PM	none	x
89	Jul 22, 2013 2:42 PM	n/a but as small as possible	x
90	Jul 22, 2013 2:37 PM	none in rawdon	x
91	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	none	x
92	Jul 22, 2013 2:31 PM	not required unless more roads bu	x
93	Jul 22, 2013 2:27 PM	n/a	x
94	Jul 22, 2013 1:59 PM	None	x
95	Jul 22, 2013 12:58 PM	No suitable land - there is more su	x
96	Jul 22, 2013 12:49 PM	maximum of 2 houses on any of the 9 sites propose	x
97	Jul 22, 2013 12:48 PM	if deemed necessary	x
98	Jul 22, 2013 12:45 PM	if at all	x
99	Jul 22, 2013 12:44 PM	none should be built	x
100	Jul 22, 2013 12:41 PM	None	x
101	Jul 22, 2013 12:36 PM	None	x
102	Jul 22, 2013 12:35 PM	I don't feel that rawdon needs any x	x
103	Jul 22, 2013 12:33 PM	None	x
104	Jul 22, 2013 12:32 PM	none required, it needs to keep its x	x
105	Jul 22, 2013 12:29 PM	no further housing should be built x	x
106	Jul 22, 2013 12:22 PM	none	x
107	Jul 22, 2013 12:15 PM	probably none but if any then sma x	x
108	Jul 22, 2013 12:13 PM	there is no need for further housin x	x
109	Jul 22, 2013 12:12 PM	more exclusive and high value homes	x
110	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	If building goes ahead only small sites should be us	x
111	Jul 22, 2013 11:59 AM	Rawdon is sufficiently built up as it x	x
112	Jul 22, 2013 11:57 AM	No new housing in Rawdon witho x	x
113	Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM	small parcels of brownfield land should be redevelo	x
114	Jul 22, 2013 11:53 AM	where suitable assessments have been made	x
115	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	needs no further housing	x
116	Jul 22, 2013 11:49 AM	none	x
117	Jul 22, 2013 11:47 AM	none	x
118	Jul 22, 2013 11:19 AM	No sites	x
119	Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM	No more houses should be built o x	x
120	Jul 22, 2013 11:11 AM	Infrastructure, esp the road netwo x	x
121	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	10 year programme to build 200 low rent houses ea	x
122	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	None Required	x
123	Jul 22, 2013 11:02 AM	No further housing needed	x
124	Jul 22, 2013 10:58 AM	No sites in Rawdon	x
125	Jul 22, 2013 10:53 AM	if at all	x
126	Jul 22, 2013 10:48 AM	none	x
127	Jul 22, 2013 10:44 AM	with exception of riverside mill	x
128	Jul 22, 2013 10:43 AM	see letter	x
129	Jul 22, 2013 10:34 AM	None	x
130	Jul 22, 2013 10:32 AM	None	x
131	Jul 22, 2013 10:30 AM	N/A	x
132	Jul 22, 2013 10:26 AM	Quality and character of build is more important tha	x
133	Jul 22, 2013 10:23 AM	No more houses	x
134	Jul 22, 2013 10:18 AM	ex industrial sites	x
135	Jul 22, 2013 10:14 AM	no new housing needed	x
136	Jul 22, 2013 10:04 AM	Traffic congestion is experienced throughout the ler	x
137	Jul 22, 2013 10:02 AM	small site only therefore more restrictive on size an	x
138	Jul 22, 2013 9:56 AM	on brownfield sites	x
139	Jul 22, 2013 9:52 AM	None at all	x
140	Jul 22, 2013 9:47 AM	none but very small - individual if : x	x
141	Jul 22, 2013 9:36 AM	no further building. Recent builds x	x
142	Jul 22, 2013 9:22 AM	the size of site is less important than careful design	x

143	Jul 22, 2013 9:15 AM	Rawdon needs no housing	x
144	Jul 22, 2013 8:15 AM	no other housing, should remain green	x
145	Jul 22, 2013 8:03 AM	until all of the sites in Aireborough and its environs	
146	Jul 22, 2013 7:55 AM	small brown field sites	
147	Jul 22, 2013 7:51 AM	none	x
148	Jul 19, 2013 2:25 PM	the above answer applies, ie none	x
149	Jul 19, 2013 1:53 PM	Any but not fields	
150	Jul 19, 2013 1:50 PM	Rawdon needs no further housing	x
151	Jul 19, 2013 1:47 PM	Brown sites with existing access to services	
152	Jul 19, 2013 1:29 PM	Rawdon needs no MORE housing	x
153	Jul 18, 2013 3:56 PM	none around Rawdon	x
154	Jul 18, 2013 3:53 PM	none	x
155	Jul 18, 2013 3:36 PM	none	x
156	Jul 18, 2013 12:00 PM	Only small brown belt sites - certainly not green belt	
157	Jul 18, 2013 11:07 AM	No further developments should be built	
158	Jul 12, 2013 8:40 AM	rawdon does not need any more housing	x
159	Jul 11, 2013 9:45 AM	infrastructure - roads/schools etc	x
160	Jul 9, 2013 4:48 PM	Infill sites have not been mentioned at all, but these	
161	Jul 2, 2013 7:18 PM	There is no room for any further housing	x
162	Jul 2, 2013 3:15 PM	Large housing estates are not attractive to anyone.	

97

4. What size of sites do you think any housing should be built on?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count	
Brownfield only	62.9%	283	23
A mix of greenfield & brownfield sites	17.6%	79	
Greenfield but not Greenbelt	3.1%	14	3
Another statement (please write below)	16.4%	74	
Another statement		107	
		answered question	450
		skipped question	36

Number	Response Date	Another statement	Categories	brownfield
1	Jul 25, 2013 7:08 PM	you need to explain terminology - many wont under		
2	Jul 25, 2013 7:01 PM	as above		
3	Jul 25, 2013 6:57 PM	any area which has been built on	lx	
4	Jul 25, 2013 4:38 PM	the new housing at Sandoz is enough... no more		
5	Jul 25, 2013 3:23 PM	if any at all		
6	Jul 25, 2013 3:06 PM	Rawdon is semi rural and as such	x	
7	Jul 25, 2013 2:56 PM	Rawdon is too heavily populated		
8	Jul 25, 2013 2:21 PM	Houses that are laying empty need	x	
9	Jul 25, 2013 9:48 AM	we should not loose the Rawdon Billing. This is use		
10	Jul 25, 2013 9:33 AM	but not sure I know the difference between green field		
11	Jul 25, 2013 9:24 AM	only brownfield sites should be built	x	
12	Jul 25, 2013 9:08 AM	None		
13	Jul 24, 2013 2:42 PM	none		
14	Jul 24, 2013 1:36 PM	sites 1, 5, 8 & 9		
15	Jul 24, 2013 1:34 PM	proper brown sites not farming land	x	
16	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	never green belt		
17	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	none, green belt/green fields should be preserved,		
18	Jul 24, 2013 1:19 PM	none		
19	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	no more in rawdon will destroy character		
20	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	no more houses needed		

21	Jul 24, 2013 1:05 PM	definitely not greenbelt
22	Jul 24, 2013 1:01 PM	none
23	Jul 24, 2013 12:56 PM	no more houses needed
24	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	rawdon is full
25	Jul 24, 2013 12:44 PM	none
26	Jul 24, 2013 12:39 PM	no more housing
27	Jul 24, 2013 12:19 PM	none
28	Jul 24, 2013 10:50 AM	None Rawdon is already overpopulated
29	Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM	enough homes to meet demand
30	Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM	No sites suitable
31	Jul 24, 2013 10:41 AM	area not suitable
32	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	brown sites only not green belt, m x
33	Jul 24, 2013 10:27 AM	None
34	Jul 24, 2013 10:09 AM	Land that already has a building o x
35	Jul 24, 2013 9:58 AM	not greenfield
36	Jul 24, 2013 9:26 AM	brown and green field sites not green belt
37	Jul 24, 2013 9:15 AM	Derelict lands only x
38	Jul 23, 2013 3:33 PM	none
39	Jul 23, 2013 3:24 PM	odd existing plots for 2to4 new bui x
40	Jul 23, 2013 1:36 PM	none but definately not greenfield and greenbelt
41	Jul 23, 2013 1:27 PM	not green belt
42	Jul 23, 2013 1:24 PM	There are large numbers of brown x
43	Jul 23, 2013 1:21 PM	no more housing required
44	Jul 23, 2013 1:11 PM	redevelopment of existing buildingç x
45	Jul 23, 2013 12:27 PM	no more housing required
46	Jul 23, 2013 12:07 PM	no more housing
47	Jul 23, 2013 12:04 PM	convert empty pubs/shops into ho x
48	Jul 23, 2013 11:18 AM	no sites at all
49	Jul 23, 2013 11:14 AM	none
50	Jul 23, 2013 10:24 AM	don't build revamp all the boarded x
51	Jul 23, 2013 10:20 AM	brownfield only in exceptional circ x
52	Jul 23, 2013 10:14 AM	see 1 and 3
53	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	no further housing
54	Jul 23, 2013 9:02 AM	brownfield preferably x
55	Jul 23, 2013 8:59 AM	Derelict buildings re use what is a x
56	Jul 23, 2013 8:53 AM	If any - only sites with derelict buil x
57	Jul 22, 2013 3:10 PM	existing run down or derelict sites x
58	Jul 22, 2013 3:06 PM	greenfield but not greenbelt
59	Jul 22, 2013 3:04 PM	none
60	Jul 22, 2013 2:49 PM	none
61	Jul 22, 2013 2:37 PM	question too vague
62	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	none
63	Jul 22, 2013 2:29 PM	does not clarify difference between definitions
64	Jul 22, 2013 2:27 PM	n/a
65	Jul 22, 2013 2:12 PM	only if small and adjacent to existing development
66	Jul 22, 2013 1:59 PM	No comment
67	Jul 22, 2013 1:06 PM	not greenbelt
68	Jul 22, 2013 12:49 PM	rawdon is already congested and needs no more hc
69	Jul 22, 2013 12:48 PM	Keep our green fields - the lungs of the city
70	Jul 22, 2013 12:44 PM	no sites suitable in rawdon
71	Jul 22, 2013 12:41 PM	None
72	Jul 22, 2013 12:36 PM	Areas requiring regeneration and l x
73	Jul 22, 2013 12:33 PM	None
74	Jul 22, 2013 12:19 PM	greenfield not greenbelt
75	Jul 22, 2013 11:59 AM	Rawdon is sufficently built up as it is. It already mer
76	Jul 22, 2013 11:53 AM	where suitable assessments have been made

77	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	needs no further housing
78	Jul 22, 2013 11:46 AM	greenfield but not green belt
79	Jul 22, 2013 11:33 AM	no sites are suitable as we don't need any more hou
80	Jul 22, 2013 11:19 AM	None all are unsuitable
81	Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM	No more houses should be built on any sites in Rav
82	Jul 22, 2013 11:11 AM	Infrastructure, esp the road network in and around f
83	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	as necessary
84	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	None Required
85	Jul 22, 2013 11:02 AM	None
86	Jul 22, 2013 10:58 AM	None in Rawdon
87	Jul 22, 2013 10:57 AM	see above + impact on schools, health centres etc
88	Jul 22, 2013 10:43 AM	see letter
89	Jul 22, 2013 10:34 AM	Not greenbelt
90	Jul 22, 2013 10:32 AM	None
91	Jul 22, 2013 10:25 AM	Greenfield and green belt are precious. Our Rawdc
92	Jul 22, 2013 10:14 AM	no new housing needed
93	Jul 22, 2013 10:04 AM	access roads must be considered with developmen
94	Jul 22, 2013 10:02 AM	brownfields as they have already l x
95	Jul 22, 2013 9:52 AM	None at all
96	Jul 22, 2013 9:47 AM	old sites or redundant areas ie bo: x
97	Jul 22, 2013 9:36 AM	no more building
98	Jul 22, 2013 9:22 AM	brownfield should be built on in pr x
99	Jul 22, 2013 9:15 AM	Rawdon needs no housing
100	Jul 19, 2013 1:50 PM	Rawdon needs no further housing
101	Jul 19, 2013 1:29 PM	Rawdon needs no MORE housing, local amenities :
102	Jul 18, 2013 3:56 PM	one with an infrastructure to support it
103	Jul 18, 2013 3:36 PM	none in rawdon
104	Jul 18, 2013 11:07 AM	no further housing should be built
105	Jul 9, 2013 4:48 PM	This repeats question 4 above. Tl x
106	Jul 2, 2013 7:18 PM	Same as above
107	Jul 2, 2013 3:15 PM	as above

23

5. Where do you think any housing should be built?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Sites on the edge of Rawdon	21.4%	92
Sites within Rawdon	11.6%	50
A mix of locations	29.8%	128
Another statement (please write below)	37.2%	160
Another statement		181
<i>answered question</i>		430
<i>skipped question</i>		56

Number	Response Date	Another statement	Categories
1	Jul 25, 2013 7:03 PM	ie brownfield site	
2	Jul 25, 2013 7:01 PM	rawdon and surrounding areas have enough new h	
3	Jul 25, 2013 6:53 PM	any brownfield sites	
4	Jul 25, 2013 6:47 PM	wherever the small brownfield sites are	
5	Jul 25, 2013 6:26 PM	on suitable brownfield sites only	
6	Jul 25, 2013 6:11 PM	as above	
7	Jul 25, 2013 6:08 PM	none should be built	
8	Jul 25, 2013 6:03 PM	Rawdon does not need any new housing, for each r	
9	Jul 25, 2013 5:41 PM	none, I think we have enough	

10	Jul 25, 2013 4:56 PM	no where
11	Jul 25, 2013 4:53 PM	no where
12	Jul 25, 2013 4:38 PM	see comment above
13	Jul 25, 2013 3:45 PM	appropriate architecture for the area and sympathet
14	Jul 25, 2013 3:42 PM	where there are brownfield
15	Jul 25, 2013 3:39 PM	do not think there is room or facilities for more in Ra
16	Jul 25, 2013 3:27 PM	preferably where there are already bus routes to mi
17	Jul 25, 2013 3:23 PM	only small only brownfield sites
18	Jul 25, 2013 2:58 PM	see above, brownfield only
19	Jul 25, 2013 2:56 PM	no development
20	Jul 25, 2013 2:50 PM	however I don't think the airborough region can sus
21	Jul 25, 2013 2:21 PM	sites where the infrastructure, roads, transport, sch
22	Jul 25, 2013 2:14 PM	Small brownfield and small infill sites
23	Jul 25, 2013 10:37 AM	Preferably none - Rawdon area already over develc
24	Jul 25, 2013 9:33 AM	under duress it has to be a mix
25	Jul 25, 2013 9:24 AM	Rawdon is a small suburb
26	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	nowhere
27	Jul 25, 2013 9:13 AM	rawdon has too much traffic already and cannot cop
28	Jul 25, 2013 9:09 AM	only brownfield sites in Rawdon
29	Jul 25, 2013 9:08 AM	Not in Rawdon village
30	Jul 24, 2013 2:42 PM	none
31	Jul 24, 2013 2:16 PM	on sites where other houses/factories have been de
32	Jul 24, 2013 1:40 PM	a much more "affordable" area - not rawdon
33	Jul 24, 2013 1:36 PM	sites 1, 5, 8 & 9
34	Jul 24, 2013 1:35 PM	only brownfield land
35	Jul 24, 2013 1:34 PM	anywhere with existing development, no new buildi
36	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	avoiding the A65
37	Jul 24, 2013 1:29 PM	if any on brown sites
38	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	not in Rawdon
39	Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM	no more houses needed
40	Jul 24, 2013 1:19 PM	sites that provide the best infrastructure (current)
41	Jul 24, 2013 1:19 PM	not in rawdon
42	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	never mind rawdon what about boarded up housing
43	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	Rawdon has sufficient housing - greenbelt/field pre
44	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	nowhere in Rawdon
45	Jul 24, 2013 1:08 PM	the roads within and surrounding Rawdon cannot c
46	Jul 24, 2013 1:04 PM	naylor jennings
47	Jul 24, 2013 1:01 PM	not in rawdon
48	Jul 24, 2013 12:57 PM	I would prefer it if no new houses were built
49	Jul 24, 2013 12:56 PM	no more houses needed
50	Jul 24, 2013 12:44 PM	none
51	Jul 24, 2013 12:43 PM	brown sites only
52	Jul 24, 2013 12:41 PM	no more housing
53	Jul 24, 2013 12:37 PM	where brown sites are
54	Jul 24, 2013 12:35 PM	not in Rawdon
55	Jul 24, 2013 12:26 PM	not on green spaces
56	Jul 24, 2013 12:19 PM	nowhere
57	Jul 24, 2013 12:17 PM	brownfield at edge of village
58	Jul 24, 2013 11:02 AM	Owing to the number of new houses built over the l
59	Jul 24, 2013 10:50 AM	Nowhere Rawdon's roads are gridlocked most of th
60	Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM	enough homes to meet demand
61	Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM	Nowhere near Rawdon
62	Jul 24, 2013 10:41 AM	area not suitable
63	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	brownfield only
64	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	brown sites only not green belt, must prevent urban
65	Jul 24, 2013 10:32 AM	No more sites on or in Rawdon

66	Jul 24, 2013 10:27 AM	None
67	Jul 24, 2013 10:09 AM	Land that already has a building on it / to renovate/r
68	Jul 24, 2013 9:36 AM	no does not need, congested already
69	Jul 24, 2013 9:30 AM	Rawdon has been built up enough. We need to prot
70	Jul 24, 2013 9:15 AM	None of the above
71	Jul 24, 2013 9:12 AM	No further houses needed
72	Jul 24, 2013 9:04 AM	As previously stated this area is already overbuilt
73	Jul 24, 2013 8:56 AM	away from leeds/a65 corridor
74	Jul 23, 2013 3:36 PM	no more locations in Rawdon
75	Jul 23, 2013 3:33 PM	none
76	Jul 23, 2013 3:24 PM	odd existing plots for 2to4 new builds
77	Jul 23, 2013 3:20 PM	brownfields only
78	Jul 23, 2013 3:13 PM	derelict buildings or buildings requiring renovation
79	Jul 23, 2013 1:36 PM	no where
80	Jul 23, 2013 1:24 PM	insufficient infrastructure
81	Jul 23, 2013 1:21 PM	no more housing required
82	Jul 23, 2013 1:11 PM	affordable housing not inflated prices
83	Jul 23, 2013 12:27 PM	no more housing required
84	Jul 23, 2013 12:23 PM	gentrification of industrial sites as oposed to green l
85	Jul 23, 2013 12:09 PM	Other areas of leeds
86	Jul 23, 2013 12:07 PM	no more housing
87	Jul 23, 2013 12:04 PM	by new proposed railway station on apperley lane to
88	Jul 23, 2013 12:00 PM	only single builds where necessary
89	Jul 23, 2013 11:20 AM	where ever appropriate
90	Jul 23, 2013 11:18 AM	no sites at all
91	Jul 23, 2013 11:14 AM	none
92	Jul 23, 2013 11:09 AM	no more housing
93	Jul 23, 2013 11:02 AM	on edge but do not forget this is country side, do no
94	Jul 23, 2013 10:42 AM	only minimal development due to traffic issues
95	Jul 23, 2013 10:20 AM	none
96	Jul 23, 2013 10:14 AM	see 1 and 3
97	Jul 23, 2013 10:11 AM	No more building in rawdon
98	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	no further housing
99	Jul 23, 2013 9:02 AM	inner city brownfield sites
100	Jul 23, 2013 8:53 AM	None
101	Jul 23, 2013 8:48 AM	sites should be small and not contribute to further c
102	Jul 22, 2013 3:18 PM	where ever brownfield sites exist
103	Jul 22, 2013 3:04 PM	no where
104	Jul 22, 2013 2:51 PM	I don't think any more houses need to be built
105	Jul 22, 2013 2:49 PM	no where
106	Jul 22, 2013 2:42 PM	n/a outside
107	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	no more building
108	Jul 22, 2013 2:31 PM	not required unless more roads built
109	Jul 22, 2013 2:27 PM	not in rawdon
110	Jul 22, 2013 2:18 PM	none
111	Jul 22, 2013 1:59 PM	No Housing, Rawdon is a village
112	Jul 22, 2013 12:58 PM	there is inadequate infrastructure for any large deve
113	Jul 22, 2013 12:49 PM	if the 2 houses allowed per plot/site are to be built tl
114	Jul 22, 2013 12:48 PM	see Q1 - I am not convinced that Rawdon needs ne
115	Jul 22, 2013 12:44 PM	no houses should be built
116	Jul 22, 2013 12:41 PM	No sites suitable in Rawdon
117	Jul 22, 2013 12:35 PM	brownfield sites such as the old factory on green lar
118	Jul 22, 2013 12:33 PM	None
119	Jul 22, 2013 12:32 PM	none required, it needs to keep its character
120	Jul 22, 2013 12:29 PM	There are industrial areas in Yeadon/Rawdon borde
121	Jul 22, 2013 12:27 PM	building on each of 3, 6 and 7 will have a significant

122 Jul 22, 2013 12:22 PM only on derelict brownfield sites and only if it does r

123 Jul 22, 2013 12:15 PM probably none but if any then small

124 Jul 22, 2013 12:13 PM there is no need for further housing in rawdon

125 Jul 22, 2013 12:12 PM Rawdon doesnt need more housing

126 Jul 22, 2013 12:07 PM where it wont add to traffic congestion

127 Jul 22, 2013 12:02 PM to match existing

128 Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM Housing should be built on small sites blending in w

129 Jul 22, 2013 11:59 AM Rawdon is sufficently built up as it is. It already mer

130 Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM Use brownfield in any location rather than greenfield

131 Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM needs no further housing

132 Jul 22, 2013 11:49 AM none

133 Jul 22, 2013 11:35 AM nowhere in Rawdon

134 Jul 22, 2013 11:33 AM no where

135 Jul 22, 2013 11:19 AM Develop inner city areas Kirkstall Forge Road for st

136 Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM No more houses should be built on any sites in Rav

137 Jul 22, 2013 11:11 AM Infrastructure, esp the road network in and around f

138 Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM None Required

139 Jul 22, 2013 11:02 AM None

140 Jul 22, 2013 10:58 AM Not in Rawdon - facilities, transport etc already tota

141 Jul 22, 2013 10:57 AM see above

142 Jul 22, 2013 10:53 AM don't know

143 Jul 22, 2013 10:48 AM no where

144 Jul 22, 2013 10:43 AM build where new sites are visible from existing publi

145 Jul 22, 2013 10:37 AM no where

146 Jul 22, 2013 10:34 AM outside Rawdon/roads/schools cannot cope

147 Jul 22, 2013 10:32 AM no locations

148 Jul 22, 2013 10:30 AM none

149 Jul 22, 2013 10:26 AM Potential developments are site specific

150 Jul 22, 2013 10:23 AM No more houses

151 Jul 22, 2013 10:14 AM no new housing needed

152 Jul 22, 2013 10:04 AM Development may be considered carefully on sites

153 Jul 22, 2013 10:02 AM only areas where it is small

154 Jul 22, 2013 9:56 AM wherever there are brownfield sites

155 Jul 22, 2013 9:52 AM No where

156 Jul 22, 2013 9:47 AM none in Rawdon - schools, surgery etc are a finite r

157 Jul 22, 2013 9:39 AM Nowhere really within Rawdon is suitable

158 Jul 22, 2013 9:36 AM Sites nowhere near Rawdon, keep building on Guie

159 Jul 22, 2013 9:31 AM we need to avoid Rawdon becoming a town with Ye

160 Jul 22, 2013 9:15 AM Use more suitable sites to improve other area's of k

161 Jul 22, 2013 8:15 AM I think we have sufficient housing

162 Jul 22, 2013 8:03 AM if the correct infrastructure is not in place, quality of

163 Jul 22, 2013 7:55 AM infrastructure unsuitable, great loss of natural beaut

164 Jul 22, 2013 7:51 AM not in rawdon, cannot cope with influx of people

165 Jul 19, 2013 2:25 PM nowhere in rawdon

166 Jul 19, 2013 1:50 PM Rawdon needs no further housing

167 Jul 19, 2013 1:47 PM Rawdon over populated already

168 Jul 19, 2013 1:29 PM Rawdon needs no MORE housing, local amenities :

169 Jul 18, 2013 4:10 PM Rawdon is part of Aireborough and is already built u

170 Jul 18, 2013 3:56 PM nowhere in Rawdon

171 Jul 18, 2013 3:49 PM in places where extra traffic would not be forced ont

172 Jul 18, 2013 3:36 PM nowhere

173 Jul 18, 2013 11:07 AM none there are developments proposed all over nor

174 Jul 12, 2013 8:40 AM inner city/ inner suburbs to avoid commuter traffic

175 Jul 11, 2013 9:45 AM outside rawdon - kirkstall site not developed where

176 Jul 11, 2013 9:08 AM 100's of houses to be built off Calvery Lane, horsfor

177 Jul 9, 2013 4:48 PM Silly question!

178	Jul 2, 2013 7:18 PM	Guiseley and Menston
179	Jul 2, 2013 3:15 PM	I do not think there should be any more housing bui
180	Jun 27, 2013 10:32 AM	Only on brownfield redevelopment sites
181	Jun 23, 2013 8:18 PM	All on the plan except no.2 Rawdon billing

6. If housing is to be built, what is your view on the nature of the buildings?

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Traditional materials should be used	53.8%	235
Modern materials should be used	2.7%	12
A mix of traditional and modern	26.8%	117
Another statement (please write below)	16.7%	73
Another statement		103
<i>answered question</i>		437
<i>skipped question</i>		49

Number	Response Date	Another statement	Categories
1	Jul 25, 2013 6:53 PM	the external appearances of the buildings should be	
2	Jul 25, 2013 5:55 PM	well designed housing - whether modern or traditior	
3	Jul 25, 2013 5:49 PM	the materials should blend in with the immediate vic	
4	Jul 25, 2013 5:41 PM	none	
5	Jul 25, 2013 5:35 PM	as far as possible to harmonise with nearby building	
6	Jul 25, 2013 4:56 PM	none should be built of any design	
7	Jul 25, 2013 3:45 PM	appropriate to area and sypathetic to views	
8	Jul 25, 2013 3:39 PM	traditional depending on what modern is	
9	Jul 25, 2013 3:27 PM	possibly modern materials but fitting in with the surr	
10	Jul 25, 2013 2:58 PM	materials in keeping with area	
11	Jul 25, 2013 2:56 PM	no development	
12	Jul 25, 2013 2:14 PM	or materials appropriate to surroundings	
13	Jul 25, 2013 9:48 AM	Rawdon is an old village and should remain traditio	
14	Jul 25, 2013 9:42 AM	in keeping with surrounding properties	
15	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	see above	
16	Jul 24, 2013 2:00 PM	whatever is in keeping with houses around the plot	
17	Jul 24, 2013 1:34 PM	either so long as not on fresh unspoilt farm land	
18	Jul 24, 2013 1:32 PM	in keeping with housing in area	
19	Jul 24, 2013 1:30 PM	brownfield	
20	Jul 24, 2013 1:15 PM	in keeping with area	
21	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	no more houses needed	
22	Jul 24, 2013 1:05 PM	in keeping with neighbouring properties	
23	Jul 24, 2013 1:01 PM	none	
24	Jul 24, 2013 1:01 PM	as long as they do the job intended	
25	Jul 24, 2013 1:00 PM	energy efficient	
26	Jul 24, 2013 12:56 PM	no more houses needed	
27	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	rawdon is full	
28	Jul 24, 2013 12:48 PM	sustainable, eco friendly, energy efficient	
29	Jul 24, 2013 12:41 PM	in keeping with area	
30	Jul 24, 2013 12:29 PM	in keeping with area	
31	Jul 24, 2013 12:26 PM	in keeping with surroundings	
32	Jul 24, 2013 12:19 PM	see above	
33	Jul 24, 2013 10:53 AM	Houses should be built with traditional materials bu	
34	Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM	enough homes to meet demand	
35	Jul 24, 2013 10:43 AM	No more housing estates to be built. Renovate emp	
36	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	don't believe new houses should be built	

37	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	in keeping
38	Jul 24, 2013 10:27 AM	None
39	Jul 24, 2013 10:04 AM	energy efficient/passive house standard
40	Jul 24, 2013 9:46 AM	in keeping with surrounding
41	Jul 24, 2013 9:04 AM	Newly built housing should be built to avoid damage
42	Jul 23, 2013 3:20 PM	as appropriate
43	Jul 23, 2013 1:24 PM	in keeping with surroundings
44	Jul 23, 2013 1:16 PM	sympathetic to environment
45	Jul 23, 2013 1:11 PM	in keeping with the area
46	Jul 23, 2013 12:27 PM	no more housing required
47	Jul 23, 2013 12:07 PM	no more housing
48	Jul 23, 2013 12:04 PM	only energy efficient housing should be built to protect
49	Jul 23, 2013 12:00 PM	in keeping with the area
50	Jul 23, 2013 11:20 AM	depends on site
51	Jul 23, 2013 11:18 AM	no sites at all
52	Jul 23, 2013 11:14 AM	not an eyesore
53	Jul 23, 2013 10:22 AM	in keeping with area, traditional and of good quality
54	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	no further housing
55	Jul 23, 2013 8:59 AM	Rawdon is an old village and this should be retained
56	Jul 22, 2013 2:51 PM	I don't think any more houses should be built
57	Jul 22, 2013 2:49 PM	none
58	Jul 22, 2013 2:41 PM	materials that match the existing adjacent buildings
59	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	no more building
60	Jul 22, 2013 2:18 PM	no high rises
61	Jul 22, 2013 1:59 PM	No comment
62	Jul 22, 2013 1:06 PM	similar to what already exists
63	Jul 22, 2013 1:02 PM	In keeping within buildings which in Rawdon are an
64	Jul 22, 2013 12:44 PM	no house should be built
65	Jul 22, 2013 12:13 PM	there is no need for further housing in rawdon
66	Jul 22, 2013 12:02 PM	to match existing
67	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	Modern materials should be used with the environment
68	Jul 22, 2013 11:59 AM	Rawdon is sufficiently built up as it is. It already meets
69	Jul 22, 2013 11:54 AM	rawdon is big enough
70	Jul 22, 2013 11:49 AM	none
71	Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM	No more houses should be built on any sites in Rawdon
72	Jul 22, 2013 11:11 AM	Infrastructure, esp the road network in and around Rawdon
73	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	according to location
74	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	None Required
75	Jul 22, 2013 11:02 AM	None
76	Jul 22, 2013 11:01 AM	the aim should be sustainability and lowest carbon footprint
77	Jul 22, 2013 10:58 AM	Do not build any
78	Jul 22, 2013 10:53 AM	no opinion
79	Jul 22, 2013 10:48 AM	none
80	Jul 22, 2013 10:43 AM	exterior walls and architectural style blend in with a
81	Jul 22, 2013 10:34 AM	Rawdon needs to be kept traditional
82	Jul 22, 2013 10:32 AM	none
83	Jul 22, 2013 10:26 AM	Material choice should be dictated by local building
84	Jul 22, 2013 10:25 AM	Materials should blend with local properties
85	Jul 22, 2013 10:23 AM	No more houses
86	Jul 22, 2013 10:14 AM	no new housing needed
87	Jul 22, 2013 10:02 AM	in keeping with the local area
88	Jul 22, 2013 9:47 AM	sympathetic to any area surrounding
89	Jul 22, 2013 9:36 AM	No building. Keelham Drive is a dreadful carbunkle
90	Jul 22, 2013 9:22 AM	materials appropriate to the site/local area
91	Jul 22, 2013 9:15 AM	Rawdon needs no housing
92	Jul 22, 2013 8:15 AM	no other housing required

93	Jul 22, 2013 7:51 AM	no houses should be built
94	Jul 19, 2013 2:25 PM	na
95	Jul 19, 2013 1:53 PM	Any materials 'in keeping' but should be eco-friendly
96	Jul 19, 2013 1:50 PM	Rawdon needs no further housing
97	Jul 18, 2013 3:56 PM	MUD - when it rains it washes away
98	Jul 18, 2013 3:49 PM	No high rise
99	Jul 18, 2013 12:00 PM	Rawdon is a traditional hamlet and this should be n
100	Jul 18, 2013 11:07 AM	None should be built
101	Jul 11, 2013 9:39 AM	to suit the situation of the site and surrounding buil
102	Jul 9, 2013 4:48 PM	Stone and slate are preferable to brick and tile
103	Jul 2, 2013 3:15 PM	It would depend on the nature of the area. But in ge

7. If housing is to be built what, if any, prerequisites should be imposed? Please write your top three priorities (for example " more bus stops are needed")

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
1.	100.0%	396
2.	86.6%	343
3.	66.4%	263
<i>answered question</i>		396
<i>skipped question</i>		90

Number	Response Date	1.	Categories	2.
1	Jul 25, 2013 7:08 PM	ensure no additional impact on already busy small i		
2	Jul 25, 2013 7:05 PM	traffic considerations		maintain a "greer
3	Jul 25, 2013 7:02 PM	better roads		better public tran
4	Jul 25, 2013 6:58 PM	better roads		more schools
5	Jul 25, 2013 6:55 PM	all roads should be improved		more schools
6	Jul 25, 2013 6:50 PM	making sure there are adequate e enough medical ;		
7	Jul 25, 2013 6:43 PM	extention to schools/ nurseries/ pl		more doctors/der
8	Jul 25, 2013 6:29 PM	improved roads		adequate provisio
9	Jul 25, 2013 6:24 PM	where sited any numbers		style
10	Jul 25, 2013 6:09 PM	more schools / expansion		
11	Jul 25, 2013 6:06 PM	new roads - and there is no where to put them. raw		
12	Jul 25, 2013 5:57 PM	transport infrastructure is very impç		there must be ad
13	Jul 25, 2013 5:51 PM	materials should blend with house		house size shoul
14	Jul 25, 2013 5:45 PM	horsforth roundabout needs sortin		road layout at gu
15	Jul 25, 2013 5:40 PM	near to major transport routes (inc		near to existing a
16	Jul 25, 2013 4:57 PM	infrastructure - the a65 & a658 are		schools
17	Jul 25, 2013 4:54 PM	road improvements - especially hç		rail link / trolley b
18	Jul 25, 2013 4:49 PM	more off street parking to avoid dan		gerous congesti
19	Jul 25, 2013 4:45 PM	significant development in infrastr		Sustainable hous
20	Jul 25, 2013 3:46 PM	investment in road and rail links		schools
21	Jul 25, 2013 3:43 PM	adequate education		adequate medica
22	Jul 25, 2013 3:39 PM	improve road systems		school facilities
23	Jul 25, 2013 3:30 PM	not just bus stops but more buses		pressure on leed
24	Jul 25, 2013 3:09 PM	provision for traffic increase is est		nhs provision - d
25	Jul 25, 2013 3:03 PM	improvement to local traffic juncti		open up rail stati
26	Jul 25, 2013 2:59 PM	more and better transport systems		rail links vital
27	Jul 25, 2013 2:57 PM	better roads for cars		better public tran
28	Jul 25, 2013 2:51 PM	close to a65 or ring road		brownfield only
29	Jul 25, 2013 2:46 PM	The local school should be expansi		alternative to car
30	Jul 25, 2013 2:17 PM	the contractors should adhere to ç		housing should b
31	Jul 25, 2013 1:41 PM	sports fields		childrens playgrc
32	Jul 25, 2013 12:57 PM	more school places		more doctors sur

33	Jul 25, 2013 12:50 PM	infrastrure - schools	infrastrure - tran
34	Jul 25, 2013 12:25 PM	traffic management should be rev	links between bu
35	Jul 25, 2013 12:05 PM	more frequent public transport	speed restriction
36	Jul 25, 2013 12:03 PM	create a train station near riversid	good traffic man
37	Jul 25, 2013 12:00 PM	improved traffic management (esp	traffic calminf me
38	Jul 25, 2013 10:40 AM	increase local road capacity + sto	more b paid fopr
39	Jul 25, 2013 9:51 AM	enough school places to accomoc	increase in bus s
40	Jul 25, 2013 9:50 AM	to look at the roads backlog of traf	better bus servic
41	Jul 25, 2013 9:34 AM	do something about horsforth rour	build more schoc
42	Jul 25, 2013 9:29 AM	transport infrastructure improvements desperately r	
43	Jul 25, 2013 9:28 AM	park and ride	improved rail ser
44	Jul 25, 2013 9:26 AM	availability of adjacent and regula	suitability for olde
45	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	see above	
46	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	more roads	better access
47	Jul 25, 2013 9:20 AM	bus stop	litter bins
48	Jul 25, 2013 9:14 AM	public transport including cycle wa	traffic should be l
49	Jul 25, 2013 9:09 AM	railway station	railway station
50	Jul 25, 2013 9:08 AM	20mph through whole vilage	bypass to built ro
51	Jul 24, 2013 2:53 PM	street not used for through fare	circular bus route
52	Jul 24, 2013 2:51 PM	space in local schools	space in local do
53	Jul 24, 2013 2:47 PM	see answer to q1, road/routes to k	rawdon billing
54	Jul 24, 2013 2:44 PM	parking	resident permits
55	Jul 24, 2013 2:40 PM	the railway line to be closed at Ap	more bus routes
56	Jul 24, 2013 2:31 PM	mustn't affect the already far too b	mustn't spoil pro
57	Jul 24, 2013 2:23 PM	greenfield sites should be protect	public transport a
58	Jul 24, 2013 2:07 PM	traffic restrictions	reduce on street
59	Jul 24, 2013 1:56 PM	development of community assets	more primary sch
60	Jul 24, 2013 1:45 PM	higher capacity road system	rail link to airport
61	Jul 24, 2013 1:43 PM	prices should be £1m +	stop public transj
62	Jul 24, 2013 1:38 PM	roads need to be improved	additional school
63	Jul 24, 2013 1:38 PM	public services buses trains good	amenities - open
64	Jul 24, 2013 1:36 PM	avoid greenbelt land	dont over popula
65	Jul 24, 2013 1:33 PM	school places already oversubscri	infrastructure - R
66	Jul 24, 2013 1:33 PM	enforced traffic calming	enforced on stree
67	Jul 24, 2013 1:32 PM	Ammenities	transport provisic
68	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	road improvements to enhance tra	more doctors
69	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	traffic calming/ bumps etc	houses to mainta
70	Jul 24, 2013 1:30 PM	improve road systems	school facilities
71	Jul 24, 2013 1:29 PM	more public transport routes planr	careful considera
72	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	improve road systems	off road car parki
73	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	better bus and rail links	park and ride to l
74	Jul 24, 2013 1:22 PM	a65 improvements	roads
75	Jul 24, 2013 1:21 PM	more bus stops	
76	Jul 24, 2013 1:21 PM	eco-friendly - rainwater saving cer	solar panels
77	Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM	the current infrastructure is fit for p	greenbelt is not l
78	Jul 24, 2013 1:16 PM	roads over crowded	school facilities
79	Jul 24, 2013 1:16 PM	more small utility shops - could w	better public tran
80	Jul 24, 2013 1:15 PM	schools	Improve public tr
81	Jul 24, 2013 1:15 PM	Environmental Impact Assessmer	Road Safety Aud
82	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	schools	doctors/dentists
83	Jul 24, 2013 1:11 PM	schools	doctors/dentists
84	Jul 24, 2013 1:11 PM	more schools	more doctors
85	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	more speed cameras	more traffic islan
86	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	less congested roads, not more	better public tran
87	Jul 24, 2013 1:08 PM	public transport improvements	school facilities
88	Jul 24, 2013 1:08 PM	reduce traffic congestion on A65 a	increase school a

89	Jul 24, 2013 1:07 PM	roads over crowded	schools
90	Jul 24, 2013 1:05 PM	more public transport	road improvement
91	Jul 24, 2013 1:04 PM	no 3 story monstrosities	tree planting
92	Jul 24, 2013 1:03 PM	better access to schools and into Leeds	
93	Jul 24, 2013 1:03 PM	good road surfaces and pavement	good parking with
94	Jul 24, 2013 1:02 PM	schools	Improve public tr
95	Jul 24, 2013 1:00 PM	infrastructure improvements	no airport expans
96	Jul 24, 2013 12:57 PM	improve road systems	
97	Jul 24, 2013 12:56 PM	roads over crowded	schools full
98	Jul 24, 2013 12:55 PM	Better public transport	better road maint
99	Jul 24, 2013 12:54 PM	traffic control and crossings	canada road alre
100	Jul 24, 2013 12:53 PM	infrastructure improvements	doctors/dentists
101	Jul 24, 2013 12:53 PM	consider road traffic congestion	
102	Jul 24, 2013 12:52 PM	schools	doctors/dentists
103	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	Road repairs	
104	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	schools	
105	Jul 24, 2013 12:49 PM	a 65 inadequate	supermarket
106	Jul 24, 2013 12:47 PM	a65 improvements	school facilities
107	Jul 24, 2013 12:45 PM	stop canada road being used as r	school facilities
108	Jul 24, 2013 12:42 PM	traffic- comuting is already at max off road car parki	
109	Jul 24, 2013 12:37 PM	infrastructure improvements	
110	Jul 24, 2013 12:35 PM	traffic- comuting is already at max capacity	
111	Jul 24, 2013 12:33 PM	schools	doctors/dentists
112	Jul 24, 2013 12:31 PM	improve road systems	
113	Jul 24, 2013 12:29 PM	improve road systems	train station
114	Jul 24, 2013 12:28 PM	preserve village character	careful consider
115	Jul 24, 2013 12:25 PM	schools	doctors/dentists
116	Jul 24, 2013 12:23 PM	schools	Bus services
117	Jul 24, 2013 12:17 PM	improve road systems	school facilities
118	Jul 24, 2013 12:15 PM	car parking	protect creamato
119	Jul 24, 2013 11:09 AM	Public transport infrastructure improvement	
120	Jul 24, 2013 11:06 AM	Improvements to traffic flow espec Rail link to Leeds	
121	Jul 24, 2013 10:57 AM	yellow lines on corners at junctions i.e. High Street/	
122	Jul 24, 2013 10:54 AM	Schools need to be enlarged to ac water mains impi	
123	Jul 24, 2013 10:46 AM	better road/transport network	improve traffic cc
124	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	no development	
125	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	n/a	
126	Jul 24, 2013 10:42 AM	improve road systems	Improve public tr
127	Jul 24, 2013 10:40 AM	more bus stops	
128	Jul 24, 2013 10:39 AM	better road infrastructure	
129	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	not on green belt	
130	Jul 24, 2013 10:35 AM	car parking facilities needed	traffic restrictions
131	Jul 24, 2013 10:33 AM	decent road for the extra traffic it v buses	
132	Jul 24, 2013 10:30 AM	more schools needed	more medical cli
133	Jul 24, 2013 10:28 AM	roads and infrastructure needs att chemist & small s	
134	Jul 24, 2013 10:25 AM	more schools needed (infant, juni	more doctors sur
135	Jul 24, 2013 10:22 AM	schools	surgeries
136	Jul 24, 2013 10:10 AM	Community building	land for people to
137	Jul 24, 2013 10:07 AM	increased carparking at schools	A65 needs updat
138	Jul 24, 2013 10:04 AM	more school places	more doctors fac
139	Jul 24, 2013 10:02 AM	Rawdon cannot cope with any additional traffic. Ro	
140	Jul 24, 2013 10:01 AM	gardens not just parking	3/4 story building
141	Jul 24, 2013 9:56 AM	more schools are needed	more doctors/der
142	Jul 24, 2013 9:53 AM	adequate drainage facilities	adequate transp
143	Jul 24, 2013 9:51 AM	public transport improvements	community facilit
144	Jul 24, 2013 9:46 AM	improve road systems	better access to :

145	Jul 24, 2013 9:44 AM	more speed cameras on a65	limit impact on o
146	Jul 24, 2013 9:39 AM	improve road systems	school facilities
147	Jul 24, 2013 9:37 AM	improve road systems	off road car parki
148	Jul 24, 2013 9:37 AM	complete change of attitude by LC	Better all round f
149	Jul 24, 2013 9:33 AM	assessment on enviroment and in	off road car parki
150	Jul 24, 2013 9:27 AM	improve road systems	off road car parki
151	Jul 24, 2013 9:27 AM	Traffic management Highways/roa	Public transport t
152	Jul 24, 2013 9:24 AM	improve road systems	Bus services
153	Jul 24, 2013 9:18 AM	the amount of extra traffic	loss of beautiful c
154	Jul 24, 2013 9:15 AM	More buses	Easy access
155	Jul 24, 2013 9:13 AM	Improved roads A65 cannot take r	A658 needs impr
156	Jul 24, 2013 9:10 AM	More school places	More medical fac
157	Jul 24, 2013 9:06 AM	Road improvements	Allp proposed bu
158	Jul 24, 2013 8:57 AM	improve road systems	impact on traffic f
159	Jul 23, 2013 3:39 PM	improve road systems	Bus services
160	Jul 23, 2013 3:37 PM	improve road systems	shops
161	Jul 23, 2013 3:34 PM	car parking	no large develop
162	Jul 23, 2013 3:25 PM	small plots where it is unobtrusive	small population
163	Jul 23, 2013 3:21 PM	do not over populate scholls	should not add tc
164	Jul 23, 2013 3:18 PM	keep with character of area	should not add tc
165	Jul 23, 2013 3:14 PM	improve road systems	school facilities
166	Jul 23, 2013 1:37 PM	schools	roads
167	Jul 23, 2013 1:28 PM	greenbelt	infrastructure
168	Jul 23, 2013 1:25 PM	improve road systems	park and ride
169	Jul 23, 2013 1:16 PM	public transport improvements	utilities
170	Jul 23, 2013 1:14 PM	improve road systems	school facilities
171	Jul 23, 2013 1:12 PM	improve road systems	school facilities
172	Jul 23, 2013 12:27 PM	a bypass built to relieve apperly la	widen alternate r
173	Jul 23, 2013 12:26 PM	off street parking	impact on traffic f
174	Jul 23, 2013 12:24 PM	doesnt merge with surrounding vil	school facilities
175	Jul 23, 2013 12:19 PM	improve road systems	existing comercia
176	Jul 23, 2013 12:08 PM	improve road systems	school facilities
177	Jul 23, 2013 12:05 PM	train station	energy efficient
178	Jul 23, 2013 12:01 PM	in line with area, single/small builc	school facilities
179	Jul 23, 2013 11:19 AM	no sites at all	green spaces
180	Jul 23, 2013 11:17 AM	health services	roads
181	Jul 23, 2013 11:15 AM	deal with existing traffic issues	roads
182	Jul 23, 2013 11:10 AM	schools	doctors/dentists
183	Jul 23, 2013 11:08 AM	health services	Bus services
184	Jul 23, 2013 11:07 AM	bus services	green space with
185	Jul 23, 2013 11:03 AM	improve road systems	schools
186	Jul 23, 2013 10:49 AM	a65 improvements	better access to c
187	Jul 23, 2013 10:46 AM	entry level housing so locals can s	impact on traffic f
188	Jul 23, 2013 10:44 AM	more frequent buses	doctors/dentists
189	Jul 23, 2013 10:42 AM	layton lane resurfaced	HGV ban on Layt
190	Jul 23, 2013 10:28 AM	traffic- comuting is already at max	school facilities
191	Jul 23, 2013 10:24 AM	schools	doctors/dentists
192	Jul 23, 2013 10:22 AM	parking for existing and new housing	
193	Jul 23, 2013 10:20 AM	a 65 inadequate, moer houses would mean more tr	
194	Jul 23, 2013 10:16 AM	no new raods	no change to pla
195	Jul 23, 2013 10:11 AM	public transport improvements	school facilities
196	Jul 23, 2013 9:23 AM	extention and improvement of sch	improved public t
197	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	rawdon cannot support further housing	
198	Jul 23, 2013 9:11 AM	road improvements	affordable and gr
199	Jul 23, 2013 9:09 AM	A65 horsforth roundabout upgrade	school improvem
200	Jul 23, 2013 9:06 AM	more flexible bus routes	not on A65/Gree

201	Jul 23, 2013 9:05 AM	sufficient natural landscaping (imaginative planting)	
202	Jul 23, 2013 9:03 AM	gps	playing area for k
203	Jul 23, 2013 9:00 AM	local facilities and infrastructure	parking
204	Jul 23, 2013 8:56 AM	extra houses = more cars so cons	family hiuses for
205	Jul 23, 2013 8:53 AM	safe road crossings	residents only pa
206	Jul 23, 2013 8:49 AM	MAJOR IMPROVEMENTS TO HI	more schhols
207	Jul 23, 2013 8:49 AM	more school places	increased bus se
208	Jul 23, 2013 8:48 AM	more schools built	more facilities for
209	Jul 23, 2013 8:48 AM	avoid further road congestion	meet needs of pr
210	Jul 23, 2013 8:47 AM	developer should contribute FULL	developer shoulc
211	Jul 23, 2013 8:47 AM	better public transport before new	consideration giv
212	Jul 23, 2013 8:46 AM	no developement on greenbelt land	additional school
213	Jul 23, 2013 8:42 AM	a65 improvements	playing area for k
214	Jul 23, 2013 8:38 AM	improve road systems	policing
215	Jul 23, 2013 8:36 AM	Decreased speed limits	more bus stops, i
216	Jul 23, 2013 8:32 AM	improve road systems	school facilities
217	Jul 22, 2013 4:00 PM	better roads	more shops/facili
218	Jul 22, 2013 3:54 PM	bus stops	schools, nurserie
219	Jul 22, 2013 3:22 PM	improve road systems	school facilities
220	Jul 22, 2013 3:19 PM	school places	transport
221	Jul 22, 2013 3:16 PM	improve road systems	parking
222	Jul 22, 2013 3:16 PM	traffic lights at horsforth roundabo	better public tran
223	Jul 22, 2013 3:12 PM	schools	doctors and dent
224	Jul 22, 2013 3:10 PM	off street parking	trees
225	Jul 22, 2013 3:07 PM	roads, schools & services should be adequate	
226	Jul 22, 2013 3:05 PM	off street parking	
227	Jul 22, 2013 3:05 PM	more frequent buses	high quality desig
228	Jul 22, 2013 2:55 PM	improve schools	extra doctors
229	Jul 22, 2013 2:47 PM	traffic calming on "rat runs" like O	installation of wir
230	Jul 22, 2013 2:42 PM	public transport improvements	school facilities
231	Jul 22, 2013 2:38 PM	improve road systems	repair existing ro
232	Jul 22, 2013 2:38 PM	improved road infrastructure, espe	greenbelt sites sl
233	Jul 22, 2013 2:36 PM	increased funding for local school	improved road ar
234	Jul 22, 2013 2:35 PM	health services	school facilities
235	Jul 22, 2013 2:32 PM	more infrastructure with new housing	
236	Jul 22, 2013 2:32 PM	new ring road	extra roads over
237	Jul 22, 2013 2:30 PM	better traffic management	
238	Jul 22, 2013 2:30 PM	bus services	adequate parking
239	Jul 22, 2013 2:28 PM	not near A65	not spoiling the s
240	Jul 22, 2013 2:26 PM	schools	roads
241	Jul 22, 2013 2:25 PM	schools	roads
242	Jul 22, 2013 2:23 PM	infrastructure improvements	Improve public tr
243	Jul 22, 2013 2:23 PM	recreational facilities (i.e. swings, roundabout etc)	
244	Jul 22, 2013 2:22 PM	public transport improvements	park and ride
245	Jul 22, 2013 2:20 PM	more local amenities - doctors/dei	more community
246	Jul 22, 2013 2:19 PM	off street parking	
247	Jul 22, 2013 2:17 PM	improve road systems	off road car parki
248	Jul 22, 2013 2:07 PM	improve road systems	train station
249	Jul 22, 2013 2:04 PM	public transport improvements	roads
250	Jul 22, 2013 2:02 PM	improve road systems	doctors/dentists
251	Jul 22, 2013 2:00 PM	schools	doctors/dentists
252	Jul 22, 2013 1:11 PM	in style with surrounding housing	infrastructure - rc
253	Jul 22, 2013 1:07 PM	bus stops	GP & dentist
254	Jul 22, 2013 1:04 PM	Better parking areas - instead of tr	Bus lane isonly tr
255	Jul 22, 2013 12:59 PM	Road improvements and public tra	More GP surgeri
256	Jul 22, 2013 12:54 PM	parking spaces for cars and bikes	more crossings fi

257	Jul 22, 2013 12:51 PM	bear in mind crematorium traffic - adequacy of bus
258	Jul 22, 2013 12:46 PM	maintain traditional buildings geographical loca
259	Jul 22, 2013 12:45 PM	improvement to schools better transport li
260	Jul 22, 2013 12:45 PM	more frequent buses proper parking fa
261	Jul 22, 2013 12:42 PM	Road Layouts to be improved Roads improved
262	Jul 22, 2013 12:42 PM	more school and parkland play are better access roa
263	Jul 22, 2013 12:38 PM	Drainage - can the existing system Services - refuse
264	Jul 22, 2013 12:38 PM	a better bus service to link town st parking provision
265	Jul 22, 2013 12:34 PM	new schools and health centres new roads there
266	Jul 22, 2013 12:33 PM	schools roads
267	Jul 22, 2013 12:31 PM	much imparoved traffic managem single entry/exit t
268	Jul 22, 2013 12:29 PM	roads improved school places
269	Jul 22, 2013 12:25 PM	traffic must be able to flow freely, parking must be
270	Jul 22, 2013 12:21 PM	more schools more bus service
271	Jul 22, 2013 12:20 PM	local services not be over strainec smaller sites
272	Jul 22, 2013 12:19 PM	traffic control and crossings school facilities
273	Jul 22, 2013 12:18 PM	Bus transport links Expansion of sch
274	Jul 22, 2013 12:17 PM	new secondary schools upgrade of existin
275	Jul 22, 2013 12:17 PM	improve road systems needf more servi
276	Jul 22, 2013 12:16 PM	some high quality assisted living e some co-ownersl
277	Jul 22, 2013 12:12 PM	better roads nearer rail netwo
278	Jul 22, 2013 12:12 PM	infrastructure improvements no loss of green t
279	Jul 22, 2013 12:08 PM	schools convenient healt
280	Jul 22, 2013 12:06 PM	new and better roads, traffic calmi better traffic man
281	Jul 22, 2013 12:06 PM	public transport improvements parking on new h
282	Jul 22, 2013 12:02 PM	suitability of existing roads and jur off road parking f
283	Jul 22, 2013 12:01 PM	Traffic lights for sites 6 & 7 More local shops
284	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	better infrastructure consider teh built
285	Jul 22, 2013 11:58 AM	a bypass built to relieve apperly la A65 widened anc
286	Jul 22, 2013 11:57 AM	access to main roads
287	Jul 22, 2013 11:56 AM	Traffic on A65 and A59 and toward Maintaining the c
288	Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM	junior school places taffic is controllec
289	Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM	improve existing facilities
290	Jul 22, 2013 11:53 AM	affect on surroundings roads
291	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	store build only no on street park
292	Jul 22, 2013 11:49 AM	deal with existing traffic issues
293	Jul 22, 2013 11:48 AM	access roads to main roads need how will the ring
294	Jul 22, 2013 11:47 AM	as above, brownfield only schooling consid
295	Jul 22, 2013 11:46 AM	bus stops accident &
296	Jul 22, 2013 11:44 AM	i am against any housing development
297	Jul 22, 2013 11:38 AM	the road intrastructure is complete new schools prov
298	Jul 22, 2013 11:34 AM	Yeadon Railway Station needs to Railway from Hol
299	Jul 22, 2013 11:32 AM	plans must be in place to deal with Possible increas
300	Jul 22, 2013 11:30 AM	More offstreet parking More schools
301	Jul 22, 2013 11:26 AM	easy access to buses etc small new shops.
302	Jul 22, 2013 11:25 AM	investment in education leisure facilities
303	Jul 22, 2013 11:23 AM	more schools more doctors
304	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	better transport links shops
305	Jul 22, 2013 11:16 AM	No more houses should be built on any sites in Rav
306	Jul 22, 2013 11:14 AM	Infrastructure, esp the road network in and around f
307	Jul 22, 2013 11:13 AM	access to transport link linit on height of l
308	Jul 22, 2013 11:12 AM	If building takes place on Cragg W of the cost of upk
309	Jul 22, 2013 11:11 AM	improved roads - stop congestion greater train links
310	Jul 22, 2013 11:09 AM	improved (very much so) bus serv school places
311	Jul 22, 2013 11:05 AM	infrastructure will support more hc Bus services
312	Jul 22, 2013 11:04 AM	within easy reach of public transp open space; tree

313	Jul 22, 2013 11:03 AM	Better roads	
314	Jul 22, 2013 10:59 AM	improve transport links and roads	consider where c
315	Jul 22, 2013 10:59 AM	not on the billings	
316	Jul 22, 2013 10:54 AM	Enough school places	not too much traf
317	Jul 22, 2013 10:54 AM	schools for children (in the future)	road access
318	Jul 22, 2013 10:48 AM	traffic- comuting is already at max	schools
319	Jul 22, 2013 10:46 AM	improved traffic management as r	Improve public tr
320	Jul 22, 2013 10:39 AM	first time buyer opportunities	adequate parking
321	Jul 22, 2013 10:39 AM	more schools and doctors as and	only a small perc
322	Jul 22, 2013 10:35 AM	more bus stops	another bus route
323	Jul 22, 2013 10:35 AM	not built on greenbelt land	not affordable ho
324	Jul 22, 2013 10:34 AM	more school places	more doctors
325	Jul 22, 2013 10:29 AM	Environmental issue BREEAM/Su	Improvement of l
326	Jul 22, 2013 10:28 AM	more primary school places	circular bus servi
327	Jul 22, 2013 10:28 AM	Park and ride to make commuters	increased parkin
328	Jul 22, 2013 10:25 AM	more bus stops	1 mini supermark
329	Jul 22, 2013 10:23 AM	Leave Rawdon alone	No more houses
330	Jul 22, 2013 10:21 AM	local facilities and infrastructure	
331	Jul 22, 2013 10:20 AM	more shops	better roads
332	Jul 22, 2013 10:20 AM	Traffic control without need for sp	any new site sho
333	Jul 22, 2013 10:18 AM	Doctors	Schools
334	Jul 22, 2013 10:16 AM	Schools	Better road struct
335	Jul 22, 2013 10:15 AM	maintain parks and the billing	better public tran
336	Jul 22, 2013 10:13 AM	more school provision	better transport i
337	Jul 22, 2013 10:11 AM	improve public transport links as t	if family housing,
338	Jul 22, 2013 10:07 AM	adequate infrastructure	train station
339	Jul 22, 2013 10:06 AM	access roads to the main artery namely A65	
340	Jul 22, 2013 9:58 AM	adequate educational facilities	adequate dental/
341	Jul 22, 2013 9:53 AM	health centre	more police patr
342	Jul 22, 2013 9:49 AM	Good onsite parking	Traffic Calming
343	Jul 22, 2013 9:49 AM	traffic regulations, speed bumps li	gardens need to
344	Jul 22, 2013 9:43 AM	no building - the roads (new roads	no building -
345	Jul 22, 2013 9:40 AM	Traffic - not to overwhelm already	Schooling - cut o
346	Jul 22, 2013 9:36 AM	schools	Improve A65 part
347	Jul 22, 2013 9:33 AM	car parking facilities	
348	Jul 22, 2013 9:32 AM	improve road systems	
349	Jul 22, 2013 9:29 AM	schools	doctors/dentists
350	Jul 22, 2013 9:24 AM	school places should be sufficient	carefully planned
351	Jul 22, 2013 9:22 AM	Communal Parking	Padestrian cross
352	Jul 22, 2013 9:18 AM	consultation with residents	
353	Jul 22, 2013 8:13 AM	improvements to A65	infrastrcture of sc
354	Jul 22, 2013 8:08 AM	the almost grid-locked A65 must b	new school
355	Jul 22, 2013 7:58 AM	few priorities, as any building rawdon	small quantit
356	Jul 22, 2013 7:53 AM	more schools	more shops
357	Jul 22, 2013 7:49 AM	Roads need adding	schools, doctors
358	Jul 22, 2013 7:47 AM	new school	
359	Jul 22, 2013 7:45 AM	medicl centre	schools
360	Jul 19, 2013 2:37 PM	improve layton road, traffic is alre	are the schoolabl
361	Jul 19, 2013 2:32 PM	more bus stops	more lighting on :
362	Jul 19, 2013 2:29 PM	grocery shops	car parking
363	Jul 19, 2013 2:25 PM	no houses: no more services	
364	Jul 19, 2013 2:22 PM	schools	shops
365	Jul 19, 2013 1:56 PM	more school places	rawdon needs m
366	Jul 19, 2013 1:51 PM	Rawdon needs no further housing	
367	Jul 19, 2013 1:48 PM	Each house/flat should have off st	All dwellings mus
368	Jul 19, 2013 1:46 PM	adequate new road access put in	proper public trar

369	Jul 19, 2013 1:31 PM	public transport improvements	ASSESSMENT C
370	Jul 18, 2013 4:14 PM	first time buyer housing	adequate parking
371	Jul 18, 2013 4:08 PM	substantial developer contribution	substantial devel
372	Jul 18, 2013 3:57 PM	not applicable to Rawdon	
373	Jul 18, 2013 3:53 PM	new roads	schools
374	Jul 18, 2013 3:51 PM	good traffic access with public tra	maintenance of c
375	Jul 18, 2013 3:46 PM	additional school places will be ne	public transport r
376	Jul 18, 2013 3:37 PM	No housing - no room for more arr	or traffic
377	Jul 18, 2013 12:05 PM	Not on Green Belt or Green Field	The infrastructure
378	Jul 18, 2013 11:08 AM	school spaces	development of r
379	Jul 12, 2013 11:55 AM	Public transport issues need resol	social infrastru
380	Jul 12, 2013 8:41 AM	a strategy to deal with the excessive traffic on all R	
381	Jul 12, 2013 8:37 AM	Safe Access Roads	Childrens play ar
382	Jul 11, 2013 9:47 AM	better roads and traffic lights	additional school
383	Jul 11, 2013 9:40 AM	that the a65 can cope with yet mo	that local schools
384	Jul 11, 2013 8:54 AM	mature trees and ponds to be protected	
385	Jul 10, 2013 2:24 PM	Major improvements to highways	More school plac
386	Jul 9, 2013 8:39 PM	Green Belt should be protected at	Local infrastru
387	Jul 9, 2013 4:50 PM	Adequate safe road access	Sufficient parking
388	Jul 8, 2013 8:49 PM	Due to A65 congestion, possibly a	Improved local fa
389	Jul 2, 2013 7:20 PM	Roads need to be built and the A6	Schools and loca
390	Jul 2, 2013 3:16 PM	More extensive road and rail netw	Not to be built on
391	Jun 27, 2013 10:44 AM	Only use brownfield sites	Do not impose or
392	Jun 27, 2013 7:30 AM	Infrastructure should be there	Relevant design
393	Jun 26, 2013 12:28 PM	prefer brownfield sites to be built	c traffic congestion
394	Jun 23, 2013 8:34 PM	Better public transport links	Improve recreati
395	Jun 23, 2013 8:21 PM	Provide Rawdon with a decent foc	Developers to im
396	Jun 22, 2013 8:37 PM	use of existing build sites	only use large pl

8. Are there any sites in Rawdon that should be protected from all development?

Answer Options	Response Count
	441
<i>answered question</i>	441
<i>skipped question</i>	45

Number	Response Date	Response Text	Categories	Billing
1	Jul 25, 2013 7:08 PM	greenfields		
2	Jul 25, 2013 7:05 PM	rawdon billing		x
3	Jul 25, 2013 7:02 PM	all sites shown on map		
4	Jul 25, 2013 6:58 PM	the billing and all other greenfield		x
5	Jul 25, 2013 6:55 PM	all greenbelt land, greenfield also if at all possible. I		
6	Jul 25, 2013 6:50 PM	the whole billing and surrounding		x
7	Jul 25, 2013 6:43 PM	the billing		x
8	Jul 25, 2013 6:29 PM	littlemoor, all greenfield sites, micl		x
9	Jul 25, 2013 6:24 PM	greenfield		
10	Jul 25, 2013 6:09 PM	all greenbelt / greenfield. any area which would tak		
11	Jul 25, 2013 6:06 PM	the billing - a vital green space be		x
12	Jul 25, 2013 5:57 PM	the billing		x
13	Jul 25, 2013 5:51 PM	all greenfield land		
14	Jul 25, 2013 5:45 PM	I think that the whole area has had as much develop		
15	Jul 25, 2013 5:40 PM	the billing and adjoining areaa loc		x
16	Jul 25, 2013 4:57 PM	all		

17	Jul 25, 2013 4:54 PM	rawdon billing	x
18	Jul 25, 2013 4:49 PM	THE BILLING & RAWDON CARR	x
19	Jul 25, 2013 4:45 PM	Yes - billing/ Cragg wood/ micklef	x
20	Jul 25, 2013 3:46 PM	yes area around billing, the green	x
21	Jul 25, 2013 3:43 PM	all red areas around the billing. la	x
22	Jul 25, 2013 3:39 PM	billinggreenbeltallotments spaces	x
23	Jul 25, 2013 3:30 PM	all green belt and billing	x
24	Jul 25, 2013 3:23 PM	yes all green sites	
25	Jul 25, 2013 3:09 PM	Woodlands drive should absolutley considered for p	
26	Jul 25, 2013 3:03 PM	yes, batter lane, rawdon billing, la	x
27	Jul 25, 2013 2:59 PM	yes sites 1,2,3,6,7 and 9 these are	x
28	Jul 25, 2013 2:57 PM	yes all green belt	
29	Jul 25, 2013 2:51 PM	Rawdon billing, an area of outstar	x
30	Jul 25, 2013 2:46 PM	Rawdon BillingFields and footpath	x
31	Jul 25, 2013 2:17 PM	Yes I feel strongly that the billing	x
32	Jul 25, 2013 1:41 PM	billing	x
33	Jul 25, 2013 12:57 PM	Yes definately - green belt and green field sites shc	
34	Jul 25, 2013 12:50 PM	the billing	x
35	Jul 25, 2013 12:25 PM	the billing and areas 6 7 7 marked	x
36	Jul 25, 2013 12:03 PM	billing hill	x
37	Jul 25, 2013 12:00 PM	rawdon billing	x
38	Jul 25, 2013 10:40 AM	Little London already heavily deve	x
39	Jul 25, 2013 10:05 AM	Rawdon Billing and cold harbour f	x
40	Jul 25, 2013 9:51 AM	rawdon billingLayton lane - prever	x
41	Jul 25, 2013 9:50 AM	Rawdon Billing, this is a picturesq	x
42	Jul 25, 2013 9:41 AM	billing	x
43	Jul 25, 2013 9:34 AM	I can't think of any that shouldn't be	
44	Jul 25, 2013 9:29 AM	the billing or at least the majority c	x
45	Jul 25, 2013 9:28 AM	all green belt and open green spaces	
46	Jul 25, 2013 9:26 AM	see q10	
47	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	all sites	
48	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	Rawdon BillingCanada Road	x
49	Jul 25, 2013 9:20 AM	billing	x
50	Jul 25, 2013 9:14 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
51	Jul 25, 2013 9:11 AM	red brickbilling	x
52	Jul 25, 2013 9:09 AM	cragg wood	
53	Jul 25, 2013 9:08 AM	yes areas 2 & 1	x
54	Jul 24, 2013 2:53 PM	the billing	x
55	Jul 24, 2013 2:51 PM	cragg wood nurseriesrawdon billir	x
56	Jul 24, 2013 2:48 PM	the billing	x
57	Jul 24, 2013 2:44 PM	conservation area (litle London) + old rawdon high	
58	Jul 24, 2013 2:40 PM	cragg wood	
59	Jul 24, 2013 2:31 PM	all greenbelt	
60	Jul 24, 2013 2:23 PM	rawdon billing	x
61	Jul 24, 2013 2:07 PM	see points 9..1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.6, 9.7 & 9.8 below	
62	Jul 24, 2013 2:02 PM	the billing!! it would be an absolut	x
63	Jul 24, 2013 1:56 PM	greenbelt sites	
64	Jul 24, 2013 1:45 PM	rawdon billing	x
65	Jul 24, 2013 1:43 PM	rawdon billing / all green areas ie	x
66	Jul 24, 2013 1:38 PM	rawdon billing - this is common la	x
67	Jul 24, 2013 1:38 PM	The Billing and surrounding land	x
68	Jul 24, 2013 1:36 PM	greenbelt land	
69	Jul 24, 2013 1:34 PM	billings, all farmland, greenfields	x
70	Jul 24, 2013 1:33 PM	Billing and other greenbelt sites	x
71	Jul 24, 2013 1:33 PM	billinggreen fields between rawdo	x
72	Jul 24, 2013 1:32 PM	green belt	

73	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	rawdon billing	x
74	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	Layton Lane	
75	Jul 24, 2013 1:30 PM	yes green belt	
76	Jul 24, 2013 1:29 PM	the billing - this should not be touc	x
77	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	all sites	
78	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	all greenbelt	
79	Jul 24, 2013 1:22 PM	billingpas landcanada road	x
80	Jul 24, 2013 1:21 PM	no but ensure developers contribute to local amenit	
81	Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM	all	
82	Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM	greenbeltconservation areas	
83	Jul 24, 2013 1:19 PM	all sites	
84	Jul 24, 2013 1:16 PM	billings	x
85	Jul 24, 2013 1:16 PM	the billing and surrounding areaLc	x
86	Jul 24, 2013 1:15 PM	greent belt esp. billing	x
87	Jul 24, 2013 1:15 PM	Greenbelt and greenfield area esp	x
88	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	all round the billing	x
89	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	billing, crag wood	x
90	Jul 24, 2013 1:11 PM	conservation/green areas to be protected	
91	Jul 24, 2013 1:11 PM	The billing	x
92	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	all sites	
93	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	farmland	
94	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	Rawdon BillingCanada Road	x
95	Jul 24, 2013 1:08 PM	billing	x
96	Jul 24, 2013 1:08 PM	Definitely the whole of the Billing	x
97	Jul 24, 2013 1:07 PM	billing	x
98	Jul 24, 2013 1:05 PM	BillingLittlemoor	x
99	Jul 24, 2013 1:04 PM	green field	
100	Jul 24, 2013 1:03 PM	The Billing and the fields on Appe	x
101	Jul 24, 2013 1:03 PM	the billing, this is a good walking s	x
102	Jul 24, 2013 1:02 PM	billingcrag wood	x
103	Jul 24, 2013 1:01 PM	billing hillcanada road	x
104	Jul 24, 2013 1:00 PM	greenbelt	
105	Jul 24, 2013 12:59 PM	sites that would mean building on fields	
106	Jul 24, 2013 12:59 PM	billing hill	x
107	Jul 24, 2013 12:57 PM	billing	x
108	Jul 24, 2013 12:57 PM	all conservation areas	
109	Jul 24, 2013 12:56 PM	rawdon	
110	Jul 24, 2013 12:55 PM	all greenbelt and greenfield sites	
111	Jul 24, 2013 12:53 PM	billing	x
112	Jul 24, 2013 12:53 PM	all areas around the billing	x
113	Jul 24, 2013 12:52 PM	sites 2,3,6,7	x
114	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	the billing	x
115	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	billinglayton lanecanada road	x
116	Jul 24, 2013 12:50 PM	conservation areas	
117	Jul 24, 2013 12:49 PM	yes	
118	Jul 24, 2013 12:49 PM	billing	x
119	Jul 24, 2013 12:47 PM	billingeast of layton lane	x
120	Jul 24, 2013 12:45 PM	billingcanada roadivy house	x
121	Jul 24, 2013 12:43 PM	all proposed sites	
122	Jul 24, 2013 12:42 PM	billingcrag woods	x
123	Jul 24, 2013 12:39 PM	green spaces need protecting	
124	Jul 24, 2013 12:37 PM	green belts need protecting	
125	Jul 24, 2013 12:35 PM	yes all green spaces	
126	Jul 24, 2013 12:33 PM	ref 2,3,6,7 green beltbuffer zone b	x
127	Jul 24, 2013 12:31 PM	layton park drive	
128	Jul 24, 2013 12:29 PM	all sites at edge	

129	Jul 24, 2013 12:28 PM	green spaces south of a65	
130	Jul 24, 2013 12:25 PM	billinglayton laneivy house	x
131	Jul 24, 2013 12:23 PM	billinglayton laneknott lanenew yo	x
132	Jul 24, 2013 12:21 PM	billing	x
133	Jul 24, 2013 12:19 PM	all sites	
134	Jul 24, 2013 12:17 PM	billingsite 5	x
135	Jul 24, 2013 12:15 PM	near crematorium	
136	Jul 24, 2013 11:09 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
137	Jul 24, 2013 11:06 AM	Areas 1,2,3,6 &7	x
138	Jul 24, 2013 10:59 AM	Cragg Wood Conservation Area	
139	Jul 24, 2013 10:57 AM	The Billing as this was a present t	x
140	Jul 24, 2013 10:54 AM	All around the Billing	x
141	Jul 24, 2013 10:50 AM	All	
142	Jul 24, 2013 10:46 AM	BillingKnott Lane area	x
143	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	all suggested sites should be protected from develc	
144	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	Site near top of New York LaneSite near Knott Lane	
145	Jul 24, 2013 10:42 AM	layton lane	
146	Jul 24, 2013 10:39 AM	the Billing and surrounding fields	x
147	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	layton lane	
148	Jul 24, 2013 10:35 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
149	Jul 24, 2013 10:33 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
150	Jul 24, 2013 10:30 AM	The Billing	x
151	Jul 24, 2013 10:28 AM	The Billing	x
152	Jul 24, 2013 10:25 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
153	Jul 24, 2013 10:10 AM	The Billing All green and greenbel	x
154	Jul 24, 2013 10:07 AM	The Billing	x
155	Jul 24, 2013 10:04 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
156	Jul 24, 2013 10:02 AM	BillingKnott Lane	x
157	Jul 24, 2013 10:01 AM	billinggreen belt protected	x
158	Jul 24, 2013 9:59 AM	The Billing top most - but all greer	x
159	Jul 24, 2013 9:56 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
160	Jul 24, 2013 9:53 AM	sites of historical interest if there should be any	
161	Jul 24, 2013 9:51 AM	billing, cragwood, area around cre	x
162	Jul 24, 2013 9:46 AM	billing, green belt, greenfield	x
163	Jul 24, 2013 9:44 AM	billing, greenfield	x
164	Jul 24, 2013 9:39 AM	billing	x
165	Jul 24, 2013 9:37 AM	billing	x
166	Jul 24, 2013 9:37 AM	BillingCragg Wood (all of it)All fiel	x
167	Jul 24, 2013 9:33 AM	billing	x
168	Jul 24, 2013 9:27 AM	billing, along a65, littlemoor	x
169	Jul 24, 2013 9:27 AM	Billing Hill ridge and surrounding	x
170	Jul 24, 2013 9:24 AM	billing	x
171	Jul 24, 2013 9:23 AM	what is left of a lovely village should now be left witl	
172	Jul 24, 2013 9:18 AM	the Billing	x
173	Jul 24, 2013 9:15 AM	Billing	x
174	Jul 24, 2013 9:13 AM	all greenbelt sites	
175	Jul 24, 2013 9:10 AM	The BillingLand to East of Layton	x
176	Jul 24, 2013 9:06 AM	yes definitely the Billing - site 2	x
177	Jul 24, 2013 8:57 AM	green belt	
178	Jul 23, 2013 3:39 PM	billingknott lane	x
179	Jul 23, 2013 3:37 PM	green belt	
180	Jul 23, 2013 3:34 PM	all sites	
181	Jul 23, 2013 3:25 PM	green spaces	
182	Jul 23, 2013 3:21 PM	any sites adjacent to a65green beltlittlemoorgreen i	
183	Jul 23, 2013 3:18 PM	green belt, new development should be brownfield	
184	Jul 23, 2013 3:14 PM	billings hill	x

185	Jul 23, 2013 1:37 PM	anything green	
186	Jul 23, 2013 1:28 PM	billingcrag woodlayton laneemmer	x
187	Jul 23, 2013 1:25 PM	all green field	
188	Jul 23, 2013 1:22 PM	I strongly believe that Rawdon itself should be prote	
189	Jul 23, 2013 1:16 PM	billing	x
190	Jul 23, 2013 1:14 PM	billing	x
191	Jul 23, 2013 1:12 PM	billing	x
192	Jul 23, 2013 12:27 PM	billinggreen belt	x
193	Jul 23, 2013 12:26 PM	rawdon is small village, any development would put	
194	Jul 23, 2013 12:24 PM	billinglayton lane	x
195	Jul 23, 2013 12:19 PM	woodlands drive and crag wood	
196	Jul 23, 2013 12:08 PM	billing	x
197	Jul 23, 2013 12:05 PM	greent belt valued, appreciated and protected. Glot	
198	Jul 23, 2013 12:01 PM	the billing	x
199	Jul 23, 2013 11:20 AM	no	
200	Jul 23, 2013 11:19 AM	all sites in Rawdon should be protected	
201	Jul 23, 2013 11:17 AM	billingsgreen belt	x
202	Jul 23, 2013 11:15 AM	crag wood, woodlands drive	
203	Jul 23, 2013 11:10 AM	billing	x
204	Jul 23, 2013 11:08 AM	billing	x
205	Jul 23, 2013 11:07 AM	billing	x
206	Jul 23, 2013 11:03 AM	billing and surroundings, area for	x
207	Jul 23, 2013 10:49 AM	billing	x
208	Jul 23, 2013 10:46 AM	billingfield east of knott lane	x
209	Jul 23, 2013 10:44 AM	billingknott lane	x
210	Jul 23, 2013 10:42 AM	Billing	x
211	Jul 23, 2013 10:28 AM	all sites	
212	Jul 23, 2013 10:24 AM	all green areas	
213	Jul 23, 2013 10:22 AM	billing	x
214	Jul 23, 2013 10:20 AM	all sites	
215	Jul 23, 2013 10:16 AM	it would be an act of vandalism an	x
216	Jul 23, 2013 10:11 AM	billing	x
217	Jul 23, 2013 9:25 AM	the billing	x
218	Jul 23, 2013 9:23 AM	the Billing	x
219	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	all sites	
220	Jul 23, 2013 9:11 AM	Billing (sites 1 & 2)	x
221	Jul 23, 2013 9:09 AM	Rawdon billing and surrounding fi	x
222	Jul 23, 2013 9:06 AM	The Billing	x
223	Jul 23, 2013 9:05 AM	certainly the Billing area. also I fe	x
224	Jul 23, 2013 9:03 AM	billing	x
225	Jul 23, 2013 9:00 AM	all green belt sites, removal of historical factory bui	
226	Jul 23, 2013 8:56 AM	protect the billing	x
227	Jul 23, 2013 8:53 AM	all green belt	
228	Jul 23, 2013 8:49 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
229	Jul 23, 2013 8:49 AM	THE BILLING	x
230	Jul 23, 2013 8:48 AM	Billing	x
231	Jul 23, 2013 8:48 AM	playing fields and recreational parks	
232	Jul 23, 2013 8:47 AM	Billingall conservation areasthe gr	x
233	Jul 23, 2013 8:47 AM	The land around the Billing shoul	x
234	Jul 23, 2013 8:46 AM	all greenbelt land	
235	Jul 23, 2013 8:42 AM	rawdon billing	x
236	Jul 23, 2013 8:36 AM	the billing and surrounding	x
237	Jul 23, 2013 8:32 AM	billing	x
238	Jul 22, 2013 4:00 PM	areas 2,3,6,7	x
239	Jul 22, 2013 3:54 PM	the billing	x
240	Jul 22, 2013 3:22 PM	billing	x

241	Jul 22, 2013 3:19 PM	all listed below	
242	Jul 22, 2013 3:17 PM	billing	x
243	Jul 22, 2013 3:16 PM	crag woodbilling hill	x
244	Jul 22, 2013 3:16 PM	rawdon billing	x
245	Jul 22, 2013 3:12 PM	6 & 7 congestion here is a problem now let alone wi	
246	Jul 22, 2013 3:10 PM	billinggreen belt	x
247	Jul 22, 2013 3:07 PM	the billing - area 2areas 6 & 7	x
248	Jul 22, 2013 3:05 PM	all of rawdon greenbelt (whats left of it)	
249	Jul 22, 2013 3:05 PM	green belt, crag wood	
250	Jul 22, 2013 2:55 PM	rawdon billing and surrounding co x	
251	Jul 22, 2013 2:52 PM	the billing	x
252	Jul 22, 2013 2:49 PM	all sites	
253	Jul 22, 2013 2:47 PM	allotments & palying fields, ex Rawdon Littlemoor s	
254	Jul 22, 2013 2:42 PM	rawdon billing	x
255	Jul 22, 2013 2:40 PM	all sites	
256	Jul 22, 2013 2:38 PM	rawdon billing should be kept as a x	
257	Jul 22, 2013 2:38 PM	greenbelt sites, especially rawdon x	
258	Jul 22, 2013 2:37 PM	billing	x
259	Jul 22, 2013 2:36 PM	Rawdon Billing / land to the east c x	
260	Jul 22, 2013 2:35 PM	billing	x
261	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	all	
262	Jul 22, 2013 2:32 PM	all greenbelt and greenfield	
263	Jul 22, 2013 2:32 PM	micklefield parr in particular but all greenfield sites	
264	Jul 22, 2013 2:30 PM	the billing	x
265	Jul 22, 2013 2:30 PM	little londonthe billing	x
266	Jul 22, 2013 2:28 PM	rawdon billing	x
267	Jul 22, 2013 2:26 PM	Little more green	
268	Jul 22, 2013 2:23 PM	green belt	
269	Jul 22, 2013 2:23 PM	Sporting facilities - Rawdon Cricket Club and footba	
270	Jul 22, 2013 2:22 PM	all greenbelt	
271	Jul 22, 2013 2:20 PM	Micklefield Parkthe Golf CourseThe Tarn (although	
272	Jul 22, 2013 2:19 PM	little more greenthe billing	x
273	Jul 22, 2013 2:17 PM	Rawdon billing	x
274	Jul 22, 2013 2:13 PM	rawdon billing and surrounding	x
275	Jul 22, 2013 2:07 PM	Its essential that we maintain some green field spa	
276	Jul 22, 2013 2:02 PM	the billing	x
277	Jul 22, 2013 2:00 PM	No comment	
278	Jul 22, 2013 1:11 PM	The BillingMicklefield ParkWhitelæ x	
279	Jul 22, 2013 1:07 PM	The Billing and greenbelts	x
280	Jul 22, 2013 1:04 PM	Rawdon Billing	x
281	Jul 22, 2013 12:59 PM	Rawdon Billing and surrounding fi x	
282	Jul 22, 2013 12:54 PM	Rawdon Billing	x
283	Jul 22, 2013 12:51 PM	The Billing and surrounding areaA x	
284	Jul 22, 2013 12:50 PM	2: the billing3: land east of Layton x	
285	Jul 22, 2013 12:46 PM	any greenfield and greenbelt sites	
286	Jul 22, 2013 12:45 PM	all greenfield aspecially Rawdon Ex	
287	Jul 22, 2013 12:42 PM	Rawdon Billing area as its a site o x	
288	Jul 22, 2013 12:42 PM	Rawdon Billing for the people to w x	
289	Jul 22, 2013 12:38 PM	All	
290	Jul 22, 2013 12:38 PM	definitely the Billings site, it is full x	
291	Jul 22, 2013 12:34 PM	All areas! As the existing roads and services are no	
292	Jul 22, 2013 12:33 PM	all of the billing	x
293	Jul 22, 2013 12:31 PM	1. Billing2. East of Layton lane, thi x	
294	Jul 22, 2013 12:29 PM	The BillingQuaker Lane triangle o x	
295	Jul 22, 2013 12:25 PM	the billing, PAS land, Cragg Wood x	
296	Jul 22, 2013 12:21 PM	The Billing	x

297	Jul 22, 2013 12:20 PM	the billing	x
298	Jul 22, 2013 12:19 PM	green belt	
299	Jul 22, 2013 12:18 PM	Rawdon Billing	x
300	Jul 22, 2013 12:17 PM	rawdod billing	x
301	Jul 22, 2013 12:17 PM	any green space	
302	Jul 22, 2013 12:16 PM	I believe Rawdon Billing and Layt	x
303	Jul 22, 2013 12:14 PM	Billing - communal leisure area	x
304	Jul 22, 2013 12:12 PM	Rawdon billing	x
305	Jul 22, 2013 12:12 PM	rawdod billing	x
306	Jul 22, 2013 12:08 PM	Rawdon Billing	x
307	Jul 22, 2013 12:06 PM	billing	x
308	Jul 22, 2013 12:06 PM	Land adjacent	x
309	Jul 22, 2013 12:02 PM	the green, the billing and all parks	x
310	Jul 22, 2013 12:01 PM	yes all land surrounding the Billing	x
311	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	yes	
312	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	no2 - greenbelt - transform green fields into building	
313	Jul 22, 2013 11:57 AM	near the billing	x
314	Jul 22, 2013 11:56 AM	The Billing - part of Rawdon's cha	x
315	Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM	The billings	x
316	Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM	Rawdon Billing- it would be crimin	x
317	Jul 22, 2013 11:53 AM	Rawdon billings and surrounding	x
318	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	none	
319	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	All sites should be protected. Enough traffic on A65	
320	Jul 22, 2013 11:49 AM	the billing	x
321	Jul 22, 2013 11:48 AM	The Billing - it serves as a noise b	x
322	Jul 22, 2013 11:47 AM	the billing	x
323	Jul 22, 2013 11:46 AM	more protection for greenbelt, the	x
324	Jul 22, 2013 11:45 AM	The BillingCragg Wood	x
325	Jul 22, 2013 11:38 AM	Billing Hill no 2 on map	x
326	Jul 22, 2013 11:36 AM	any and all greenfield sites	
327	Jul 22, 2013 11:34 AM	The billing	x
328	Jul 22, 2013 11:34 AM	The Billing and fields surrounding	x
329	Jul 22, 2013 11:32 AM	Rawdon Billing, Rawdon Littlemoc	x
330	Jul 22, 2013 11:30 AM	Canada RoadRawdon Billing	x
331	Jul 22, 2013 11:26 AM	Yes 1 & 2 Rawdon Billing	x
332	Jul 22, 2013 11:25 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
333	Jul 22, 2013 11:23 AM	Rawdon Billing, Craig wood nurse	x
334	Jul 22, 2013 11:21 AM	Canada Road, Rawdon Billing	x
335	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	No 2 and 3	x
336	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	all - existing prices would plummet	
337	Jul 22, 2013 11:19 AM	The billing	x
338	Jul 22, 2013 11:17 AM	Craig Wood	
339	Jul 22, 2013 11:16 AM	All sites in Rawdon should be protected from buildir	
340	Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
341	Jul 22, 2013 11:14 AM	All current green field sites	
342	Jul 22, 2013 11:13 AM	all greenbelt areas	
343	Jul 22, 2013 11:11 AM	Billing, land east of Layton lane, K	x
344	Jul 22, 2013 11:09 AM	all greenbelt if possible	
345	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	every one of them	
346	Jul 22, 2013 11:06 AM	All greenbelt	
347	Jul 22, 2013 11:05 AM	site 2 rawdon billing	x
348	Jul 22, 2013 11:04 AM	The billing	x
349	Jul 22, 2013 11:03 AM	yes	
350	Jul 22, 2013 10:59 AM	All but especially the Billing	x
351	Jul 22, 2013 10:59 AM	the billing	x
352	Jul 22, 2013 10:58 AM	all	

353	Jul 22, 2013 10:54 AM	yes	
354	Jul 22, 2013 10:54 AM	greenbelt - what is the point of greenbelt if you cons	
355	Jul 22, 2013 10:48 AM	all sites	
356	Jul 22, 2013 10:46 AM	Billing as a local beauty spot. Pas x	
357	Jul 22, 2013 10:44 AM	yes - letter explains what must not be developed int	
358	Jul 22, 2013 10:39 AM	The billing	x
359	Jul 22, 2013 10:39 AM	PAS landThe Billing	x
360	Jul 22, 2013 10:37 AM	The Billing	x
361	Jul 22, 2013 10:35 AM	Billing	x
362	Jul 22, 2013 10:35 AM	Cragg WoodBillingFarmland	x
363	Jul 22, 2013 10:34 AM	no	
364	Jul 22, 2013 10:31 AM	all sites except those tat improve the area	
365	Jul 22, 2013 10:29 AM	yes - all greenfield sites should be x	
366	Jul 22, 2013 10:28 AM	everywhere else not covered already apart from a fi	
367	Jul 22, 2013 10:28 AM	sites 2 and 3 form a direct greenw x	
368	Jul 22, 2013 10:25 AM	Billing	x
369	Jul 22, 2013 10:23 AM	Site 1Site 2Site 3	x
370	Jul 22, 2013 10:21 AM	Rawdon BillingThe field behind ou x	
371	Jul 22, 2013 10:20 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
372	Jul 22, 2013 10:18 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
373	Jul 22, 2013 10:16 AM	Rawdon BillingCragg Wood Nurse x	
374	Jul 22, 2013 10:15 AM	the BillingLow green - field opposi x	
375	Jul 22, 2013 10:13 AM	all greenbelt land	
376	Jul 22, 2013 10:11 AM	Billing hill and surrounding fields, x	
377	Jul 22, 2013 10:10 AM	Rawdon Billing - it's the only gree x	
378	Jul 22, 2013 10:07 AM	greenfield sites	
379	Jul 22, 2013 10:06 AM	Sites 6 & 7 as previously set out	
380	Jul 22, 2013 9:58 AM	The area surrounding the Billing c x	
381	Jul 22, 2013 9:53 AM	All of it! We moved here because there are areas of	
382	Jul 22, 2013 9:49 AM	The Billing	x
383	Jul 22, 2013 9:49 AM	yes the green belt, woodland and country lanes tha	
384	Jul 22, 2013 9:46 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
385	Jul 22, 2013 9:43 AM	if you decide to build on Rawdon f x	
386	Jul 22, 2013 9:40 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
387	Jul 22, 2013 9:36 AM	Rawdon BillingKnott Lane	x
388	Jul 22, 2013 9:33 AM	All the natural woodland & green fields	
389	Jul 22, 2013 9:32 AM	The billingGreen belt between raw x	
390	Jul 22, 2013 9:29 AM	Rawdon Billing should never ever x	
391	Jul 22, 2013 9:24 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
392	Jul 22, 2013 9:22 AM	Rawdon billing	x
393	Jul 22, 2013 9:18 AM	all current sites	
394	Jul 22, 2013 9:16 AM	All sites mentioned. particularly bi x	
395	Jul 22, 2013 8:13 AM	the billing, Layton lane, knott lane x	
396	Jul 22, 2013 8:08 AM	Rawdon billing and its access at C x	
397	Jul 22, 2013 7:58 AM	1. the billing2. Layton lane3. land x	
398	Jul 22, 2013 7:53 AM	the biling site, garth's farm, red be x	
399	Jul 22, 2013 7:49 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
400	Jul 22, 2013 7:47 AM	Rawdon BillingLand east of Layto x	
401	Jul 22, 2013 7:45 AM	the billing, penny's field on A65, ci x	
402	Jul 19, 2013 2:41 PM	Billing	x
403	Jul 19, 2013 2:37 PM	The billing is a local Beauty spot ε x	
404	Jul 19, 2013 2:32 PM	round the back of the Billing Lake x	
405	Jul 19, 2013 2:29 PM	fields to the right of Apperley lane x	
406	Jul 19, 2013 2:25 PM	all of them	
407	Jul 19, 2013 2:22 PM	the billing	x
408	Jul 19, 2013 1:56 PM	Rawdon billingPAS Land Canada x	

409	Jul 19, 2013 1:53 PM	Open green spaces	
410	Jul 19, 2013 1:51 PM	All of RawdonRawdon needs no further housing	
411	Jul 19, 2013 1:48 PM	All sites	
412	Jul 19, 2013 1:46 PM	The Billing	x
413	Jul 19, 2013 1:31 PM	Greenbelt/ conservation areas	
414	Jul 18, 2013 4:14 PM	Billing, fields opposite craggwood	x
415	Jul 18, 2013 4:08 PM	sites 1 to 7 inclusive plus all other	x
416	Jul 18, 2013 3:57 PM	PAS land, Canada road, rawdon	x
417	Jul 18, 2013 3:53 PM	the Billing/greenbelt	x
418	Jul 18, 2013 3:51 PM	Billing & PAS land at top of Canada Road	
419	Jul 18, 2013 3:46 PM	The Billing	x
420	Jul 18, 2013 3:37 PM	All sites	
421	Jul 18, 2013 12:05 PM	ALL green belt and green sites - in particular 1221	
422	Jul 18, 2013 11:08 AM	All sites should be protected	
423	Jul 12, 2013 11:55 AM	The BillingSites to East & West of x	
424	Jul 12, 2013 8:41 AM	all of them	
425	Jul 12, 2013 8:37 AM	Yes maap ref 2, 3	x
426	Jul 11, 2013 9:47 AM	all around the Billing and by peas	x
427	Jul 11, 2013 9:40 AM	yes - sites 2, 3, 6 and 7	x
428	Jul 11, 2013 8:54 AM	the Billings should be protected	x
429	Jul 10, 2013 2:24 PM	Rawdon Billing	x
430	Jul 9, 2013 8:39 PM	Green belt should be protected at all cost. Surely tc	
431	Jul 9, 2013 4:50 PM	Greenbelt should be protected - and not re-defined	
432	Jul 8, 2013 8:49 PM	Sites that are currently Green belt should be protec	
433	Jul 3, 2013 8:48 AM	The Billing	x
434	Jul 2, 2013 7:20 PM	Yes, all Green field sites	
435	Jul 2, 2013 3:16 PM	Greenfield and greenbelt areas-without these Raw	
436	Jun 27, 2013 10:44 AM	1.The Rawdon billing and adjoin	x
437	Jun 27, 2013 7:30 AM	Rawdon Billing	x
438	Jun 26, 2013 12:28 PM	Billinglvy HouseLayton Lane	x
439	Jun 23, 2013 8:34 PM	The Billing area	x
440	Jun 23, 2013 8:21 PM	The billing- leave us some green	x
441	Jun 22, 2013 8:37 PM	Fields.We dont have many left	

290

9. Are there any sites which you think could improve Rawdon by being developed?

Answer Options	Response Count
	322
<i>answered question</i>	322
<i>skipped question</i>	164

Number	Response Date	Response Text	Categories
1	Jul 25, 2013 7:08 PM	no	
2	Jul 25, 2013 7:05 PM	development at Sandoz site - large site mixed hous	
3	Jul 25, 2013 7:02 PM	NO	
4	Jul 25, 2013 6:58 PM	no	
5	Jul 25, 2013 6:55 PM	do not know of any suitable for improvement	
6	Jul 25, 2013 6:50 PM	cant think of any except perhaps riverside mills	
7	Jul 25, 2013 6:43 PM	fields of a65 to right hand side going into leeds	
8	Jul 25, 2013 6:29 PM	any brownfield sitesdisused commercial sites	
9	Jul 25, 2013 6:24 PM	riverside mills and cragg wood nurseries only two p	
10	Jul 25, 2013 6:09 PM	no	
11	Jul 25, 2013 6:06 PM	Naylors factory site on green lane?why doesn't the	

12	Jul 25, 2013 5:57 PM	could new housing be built within walking distance
13	Jul 25, 2013 5:51 PM	any ex industrial or brownfield; or change of use site
14	Jul 25, 2013 5:45 PM	no
15	Jul 25, 2013 5:40 PM	I am not especially keen to see large scale develop
16	Jul 25, 2013 4:57 PM	no
17	Jul 25, 2013 4:54 PM	no
18	Jul 25, 2013 4:49 PM	no
19	Jul 25, 2013 4:45 PM	no - would not be an improvement
20	Jul 25, 2013 3:46 PM	no
21	Jul 25, 2013 3:43 PM	current brown fields
22	Jul 25, 2013 3:39 PM	it is full now
23	Jul 25, 2013 3:30 PM	no
24	Jul 25, 2013 3:23 PM	no
25	Jul 25, 2013 3:09 PM	no rawdon is pretty much at capacity it should now
26	Jul 25, 2013 3:03 PM	former naylor jennings site, green lane
27	Jul 25, 2013 2:59 PM	none at all
28	Jul 25, 2013 2:57 PM	no
29	Jul 25, 2013 2:51 PM	9
30	Jul 25, 2013 2:46 PM	The land by jubilee hall - a more local park for child
31	Jul 25, 2013 2:17 PM	I would suggest the Naylor Jennings mill site would
32	Jul 25, 2013 1:41 PM	?
33	Jul 25, 2013 12:25 PM	no
34	Jul 25, 2013 12:05 PM	over lane
35	Jul 25, 2013 12:03 PM	no's 1, 5, 8 and 9
36	Jul 25, 2013 10:40 AM	no
37	Jul 25, 2013 10:05 AM	Naylor Jennings site is fast becoming an eyesore d
38	Jul 25, 2013 9:51 AM	n/a
39	Jul 25, 2013 9:41 AM	no
40	Jul 25, 2013 9:34 AM	no
41	Jul 25, 2013 9:29 AM	Sandoz site & similar
42	Jul 25, 2013 9:28 AM	not that I know of
43	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	no
44	Jul 25, 2013 9:14 AM	Yeadon
45	Jul 25, 2013 9:11 AM	none
46	Jul 25, 2013 9:09 AM	no
47	Jul 24, 2013 2:51 PM	no
48	Jul 24, 2013 2:44 PM	none
49	Jul 24, 2013 2:31 PM	existing buildings which need modernising/develop
50	Jul 24, 2013 2:23 PM	I don't know of any
51	Jul 24, 2013 2:07 PM	none
52	Jul 24, 2013 2:02 PM	some of the smaller sites may help improve section
53	Jul 24, 2013 1:45 PM	east & west knott lane
54	Jul 24, 2013 1:43 PM	no
55	Jul 24, 2013 1:38 PM	Airedale site redevelopment for mixed use
56	Jul 24, 2013 1:34 PM	old factory/mill sites already built on
57	Jul 24, 2013 1:33 PM	No I would prefer no building we moved here liking
58	Jul 24, 2013 1:33 PM	no
59	Jul 24, 2013 1:32 PM	no
60	Jul 24, 2013 1:30 PM	no
61	Jul 24, 2013 1:29 PM	no
62	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	no
63	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	LS19/LS20 is overdeveloped already.Schools and r
64	Jul 24, 2013 1:22 PM	no
65	Jul 24, 2013 1:21 PM	No
66	Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM	no
67	Jul 24, 2013 1:19 PM	no

68	Jul 24, 2013 1:15 PM	NO
69	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	no
70	Jul 24, 2013 1:11 PM	no - other than some brownfield sites
71	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	no
72	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	none
73	Jul 24, 2013 1:08 PM	No because any development will further increase t
74	Jul 24, 2013 1:05 PM	Definitely not
75	Jul 24, 2013 1:04 PM	rawdon is full
76	Jul 24, 2013 1:01 PM	no
77	Jul 24, 2013 1:00 PM	none
78	Jul 24, 2013 12:59 PM	no
79	Jul 24, 2013 12:57 PM	no
80	Jul 24, 2013 12:57 PM	no
81	Jul 24, 2013 12:56 PM	none of it
82	Jul 24, 2013 12:55 PM	only brownfield
83	Jul 24, 2013 12:53 PM	Brownfield
84	Jul 24, 2013 12:52 PM	no
85	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	rawdon needs space
86	Jul 24, 2013 12:50 PM	no
87	Jul 24, 2013 12:49 PM	no
88	Jul 24, 2013 12:49 PM	no preserve green spaces
89	Jul 24, 2013 12:47 PM	empty shops to be converted to housing
90	Jul 24, 2013 12:45 PM	none
91	Jul 24, 2013 12:42 PM	no
92	Jul 24, 2013 12:39 PM	none
93	Jul 24, 2013 12:37 PM	crag wood and naylor jennings
94	Jul 24, 2013 12:35 PM	none
95	Jul 24, 2013 12:29 PM	not that aware of
96	Jul 24, 2013 12:28 PM	not aware of any
97	Jul 24, 2013 12:25 PM	crag wooddriverside mills
98	Jul 24, 2013 12:21 PM	not sure
99	Jul 24, 2013 12:19 PM	no
100	Jul 24, 2013 11:09 AM	No
101	Jul 24, 2013 11:06 AM	I am not sure what is proposed for the other sites bu
102	Jul 24, 2013 10:54 AM	No
103	Jul 24, 2013 10:50 AM	No
104	Jul 24, 2013 10:46 AM	None
105	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	no
106	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	No
107	Jul 24, 2013 10:39 AM	NO
108	Jul 24, 2013 10:38 AM	none
109	Jul 24, 2013 10:35 AM	Naylor Jennings Mill
110	Jul 24, 2013 10:33 AM	not sure
111	Jul 24, 2013 10:28 AM	No
112	Jul 24, 2013 10:25 AM	No
113	Jul 24, 2013 10:07 AM	NO!
114	Jul 24, 2013 10:04 AM	No
115	Jul 24, 2013 10:02 AM	No
116	Jul 24, 2013 10:01 AM	batter lanenaylor jennings
117	Jul 24, 2013 9:56 AM	No
118	Jul 24, 2013 9:53 AM	brownfield sites which remain undeveloped for long
119	Jul 24, 2013 9:51 AM	large developments would not improve Rawdon
120	Jul 24, 2013 9:46 AM	no
121	Jul 24, 2013 9:39 AM	no
122	Jul 24, 2013 9:37 AM	micklefield park council buildings
123	Jul 24, 2013 9:37 AM	No the infrastructure isn't coping now with all the ex

124	Jul 24, 2013 9:33 AM	no over developed and grid locked already
125	Jul 24, 2013 9:27 AM	High quality housing will bring nice people into the :
126	Jul 24, 2013 9:24 AM	no
127	Jul 24, 2013 9:23 AM	no
128	Jul 24, 2013 9:18 AM	No
129	Jul 24, 2013 9:15 AM	No
130	Jul 24, 2013 9:10 AM	NO
131	Jul 24, 2013 8:57 AM	Naylor Jennings
132	Jul 23, 2013 3:39 PM	not that I know of
133	Jul 23, 2013 3:37 PM	none except brownfields
134	Jul 23, 2013 3:34 PM	no!
135	Jul 23, 2013 3:25 PM	again small unobtrusive plots
136	Jul 23, 2013 3:18 PM	no
137	Jul 23, 2013 1:37 PM	no
138	Jul 23, 2013 1:28 PM	no
139	Jul 23, 2013 1:25 PM	no local transport links must be improved first
140	Jul 23, 2013 1:16 PM	claret/sandoz
141	Jul 23, 2013 1:14 PM	akk development should be kept to a minimum
142	Jul 23, 2013 12:27 PM	no
143	Jul 23, 2013 12:26 PM	no
144	Jul 23, 2013 12:24 PM	lower knott lane (6,7) industrial sites in yeardon
145	Jul 23, 2013 12:08 PM	no
146	Jul 23, 2013 12:05 PM	by proposed train station on apperley lane
147	Jul 23, 2013 12:01 PM	no
148	Jul 23, 2013 11:20 AM	no
149	Jul 23, 2013 11:19 AM	no
150	Jul 23, 2013 11:17 AM	riverside mills
151	Jul 23, 2013 11:15 AM	no idea
152	Jul 23, 2013 11:10 AM	no
153	Jul 23, 2013 11:07 AM	nine
154	Jul 23, 2013 11:03 AM	no over crowded as it is derelict areas of leeds shou
155	Jul 23, 2013 10:44 AM	no
156	Jul 23, 2013 10:42 AM	no
157	Jul 23, 2013 10:28 AM	any additional housing will create more traffic and tr
158	Jul 23, 2013 10:24 AM	no
159	Jul 23, 2013 10:22 AM	mills on green lane
160	Jul 23, 2013 10:20 AM	no
161	Jul 23, 2013 10:16 AM	no (unless the airport is closed so brownfield releas
162	Jul 23, 2013 10:11 AM	no
163	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	rawdon should not be developed further
164	Jul 23, 2013 9:11 AM	no
165	Jul 23, 2013 9:09 AM	no
166	Jul 23, 2013 9:05 AM	unknown
167	Jul 23, 2013 9:00 AM	no see above.
168	Jul 23, 2013 8:56 AM	no
169	Jul 23, 2013 8:53 AM	no
170	Jul 23, 2013 8:49 AM	NO
171	Jul 23, 2013 8:48 AM	no but sites 4, 9 would be least disruptive to commu
172	Jul 23, 2013 8:48 AM	possibly to the northern side of the airport
173	Jul 23, 2013 8:47 AM	Jennings Mill - but not necessarily residential
174	Jul 23, 2013 8:47 AM	no - but we have to accept that to expect no develop
175	Jul 23, 2013 8:36 AM	land either side of knott laneNaylor Jennings site - (
176	Jul 23, 2013 8:32 AM	east and west of knott laneCragg Wood Nurseries
177	Jul 22, 2013 3:17 PM	no
178	Jul 22, 2013 3:16 PM	the library at micklefield park
179	Jul 22, 2013 3:09 PM	no

180	Jul 22, 2013 3:07 PM	no
181	Jul 22, 2013 3:05 PM	no
182	Jul 22, 2013 2:55 PM	small fill in sites which will not affect existing house
183	Jul 22, 2013 2:52 PM	land behind Sconebox at Yeadon Cricket Club
184	Jul 22, 2013 2:49 PM	none
185	Jul 22, 2013 2:42 PM	no
186	Jul 22, 2013 2:38 PM	site 6site 8
187	Jul 22, 2013 2:38 PM	no
188	Jul 22, 2013 2:37 PM	no
189	Jul 22, 2013 2:36 PM	no
190	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	none
191	Jul 22, 2013 2:32 PM	no
192	Jul 22, 2013 2:28 PM	no
193	Jul 22, 2013 2:19 PM	none
194	Jul 22, 2013 2:17 PM	no1 PAS land Canada RoadNo 6 West of Knott Lan
195	Jul 22, 2013 2:07 PM	any brown field site
196	Jul 22, 2013 2:02 PM	buildings by library at present council owened and s
197	Jul 22, 2013 2:00 PM	No comment
198	Jul 22, 2013 1:11 PM	The old mill near the fire station
199	Jul 22, 2013 1:07 PM	more children's activity park e.g. tennis, turf, football
200	Jul 22, 2013 1:04 PM	None - traffic levels are already at a very high level
201	Jul 22, 2013 12:59 PM	None
202	Jul 22, 2013 12:51 PM	None most developemtn is ugly and detrimental
203	Jul 22, 2013 12:50 PM	no rawdon is already congested
204	Jul 22, 2013 12:46 PM	No
205	Jul 22, 2013 12:45 PM	no
206	Jul 22, 2013 12:42 PM	Green Lane Mills and Riverside mills, westfield Yea
207	Jul 22, 2013 12:38 PM	None
208	Jul 22, 2013 12:38 PM	no
209	Jul 22, 2013 12:34 PM	No
210	Jul 22, 2013 12:33 PM	no
211	Jul 22, 2013 12:31 PM	field west of Knott Lane, good site visible from road
212	Jul 22, 2013 12:29 PM	Green Lane Mill Area
213	Jul 22, 2013 12:25 PM	no
214	Jul 22, 2013 12:20 PM	not sure
215	Jul 22, 2013 12:17 PM	n/a
216	Jul 22, 2013 12:14 PM	no
217	Jul 22, 2013 12:12 PM	no
218	Jul 22, 2013 12:08 PM	no
219	Jul 22, 2013 12:06 PM	no
220	Jul 22, 2013 12:01 PM	All sites except 1 & 2 would improve Rawdon by be
221	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	no
222	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	no
223	Jul 22, 2013 11:57 AM	sites 1 or 2
224	Jul 22, 2013 11:56 AM	Riverside Mills
225	Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM	no
226	Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM	none
227	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	no
228	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	None Aireborough is choc-a-block with traffic every
229	Jul 22, 2013 11:49 AM	bottom of green lane
230	Jul 22, 2013 11:47 AM	no
231	Jul 22, 2013 11:46 AM	Bigger Library sites
232	Jul 22, 2013 11:38 AM	no
233	Jul 22, 2013 11:36 AM	no
234	Jul 22, 2013 11:34 AM	none
235	Jul 22, 2013 11:34 AM	Factory site on Green LaneSites to south of A65

236	Jul 22, 2013 11:32 AM	not known
237	Jul 22, 2013 11:26 AM	Not really but see comments on map ref 4 & 9
238	Jul 22, 2013 11:25 AM	No
239	Jul 22, 2013 11:23 AM	land east of Layton lane
240	Jul 22, 2013 11:21 AM	do not know
241	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	no
242	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	No
243	Jul 22, 2013 11:19 AM	no
244	Jul 22, 2013 11:17 AM	Rawdon Billing
245	Jul 22, 2013 11:16 AM	None
246	Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM	sites 1, 6 and 7
247	Jul 22, 2013 11:14 AM	No, spur to the north west of map ref 5 is my proper
248	Jul 22, 2013 11:09 AM	open mind on this
249	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	no
250	Jul 22, 2013 11:05 AM	sites 4,5 and 8
251	Jul 22, 2013 11:04 AM	not improve, but provide extra green stretch betwee
252	Jul 22, 2013 11:03 AM	no
253	Jul 22, 2013 10:59 AM	No
254	Jul 22, 2013 10:59 AM	No 4 on list
255	Jul 22, 2013 10:58 AM	no
256	Jul 22, 2013 10:54 AM	no
257	Jul 22, 2013 10:54 AM	no
258	Jul 22, 2013 10:48 AM	no
259	Jul 22, 2013 10:44 AM	no
260	Jul 22, 2013 10:39 AM	yes, small/medium sites already surrounded by hou
261	Jul 22, 2013 10:39 AM	No
262	Jul 22, 2013 10:35 AM	no
263	Jul 22, 2013 10:35 AM	no - roads cannot cope
264	Jul 22, 2013 10:34 AM	none
265	Jul 22, 2013 10:28 AM	no
266	Jul 22, 2013 10:28 AM	No the a65 corridor is already over used with the 'cl
267	Jul 22, 2013 10:23 AM	None
268	Jul 22, 2013 10:21 AM	No the rush hour traffic would become even worse!
269	Jul 22, 2013 10:20 AM	don't know
270	Jul 22, 2013 10:18 AM	None
271	Jul 22, 2013 10:16 AM	No
272	Jul 22, 2013 10:15 AM	N/A
273	Jul 22, 2013 10:11 AM	green lane area
274	Jul 22, 2013 10:10 AM	Green Lane Dyeworks
275	Jul 22, 2013 10:07 AM	none
276	Jul 22, 2013 10:06 AM	I would say that with limited access a new network
277	Jul 22, 2013 9:58 AM	Current brownfield site - Riverside Mill
278	Jul 22, 2013 9:53 AM	No!
279	Jul 22, 2013 9:49 AM	No
280	Jul 22, 2013 9:49 AM	an area of boarded up buildings around the town ha
281	Jul 22, 2013 9:43 AM	knock down keelham drive and build proper homes
282	Jul 22, 2013 9:36 AM	No
283	Jul 22, 2013 9:33 AM	there are some empty building sites that could be d
284	Jul 22, 2013 9:29 AM	no
285	Jul 22, 2013 9:22 AM	ivy house/batter lane/riverside mills
286	Jul 22, 2013 9:18 AM	no, I think there should be a focus on the shops and
287	Jul 22, 2013 9:16 AM	No
288	Jul 22, 2013 8:13 AM	None, rawdon is a large enough village as it is with
289	Jul 22, 2013 8:08 AM	the only brownfield site is Cragg Wood nurseries. th
290	Jul 22, 2013 7:58 AM	none what so ever
291	Jul 22, 2013 7:53 AM	no

292	Jul 22, 2013 7:49 AM	no
293	Jul 22, 2013 7:47 AM	no definitely not
294	Jul 22, 2013 7:45 AM	no
295	Jul 19, 2013 2:32 PM	site 9 riverside mills
296	Jul 19, 2013 2:29 PM	factory on green lane
297	Jul 19, 2013 1:53 PM	Old disused factories, buildings, schools
298	Jul 19, 2013 1:51 PM	No
299	Jul 19, 2013 1:48 PM	No
300	Jul 19, 2013 1:46 PM	None
301	Jul 19, 2013 1:31 PM	no
302	Jul 18, 2013 4:14 PM	mill site on greenfield lane
303	Jul 18, 2013 4:08 PM	no
304	Jul 18, 2013 3:57 PM	ZERO
305	Jul 18, 2013 3:53 PM	no
306	Jul 18, 2013 3:51 PM	no
307	Jul 18, 2013 3:37 PM	no
308	Jul 18, 2013 12:05 PM	Only the development of brown field sites - if we are
309	Jul 18, 2013 11:08 AM	no
310	Jul 12, 2013 11:55 AM	None
311	Jul 12, 2013 8:37 AM	map ref 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9
312	Jul 11, 2013 9:47 AM	none
313	Jul 11, 2013 9:40 AM	no
314	Jul 9, 2013 8:39 PM	Brownfield sites. The Clariant site is a prime exampl
315	Jul 9, 2013 4:50 PM	Brownfield sites are usually not visually attractive
316	Jul 3, 2013 8:48 AM	the crematorium
317	Jul 2, 2013 7:20 PM	No. Rawdon is a pleasant place to live which woul
318	Jul 2, 2013 3:16 PM	Not for more housing-see all above answers.
319	Jun 27, 2013 10:44 AM	None
320	Jun 27, 2013 7:30 AM	Gaps in building
321	Jun 26, 2013 12:28 PM	Green Lane, existing mill siteCanada Road
322	Jun 23, 2013 8:21 PM	Not really

10. The map shows several sites on which Leeds City Council is deciding whether to allow or prevent deve housing?

Answer Options	Very suitable for housing	Suitable for housing	Not sure
1. PAS Land, Canada Road	15	95	84
2. Rawdon Billing	3	9	6
3. Land to east of Layton Lane	14	64	82
4. Cragg Wood Nurseries	17	117	109
5. Land adjacent Ivy House	16	111	129
6. Field to west of Knott Lane	15	100	82
7. Field to east of Knott Lane	13	89	88
8. Batter Lane (*)	26	125	89
9. Riverside Mills (*)	37	148	84

11. Sites suitable for alternate use. Please specify the site and possible use.

Answer Options	Response Count
	45
<i>answered question</i>	45

Number	Response Date	Response Text	Categories
1	Jul 25, 2013 7:06 PM	Sandoz site	
2	Jul 25, 2013 6:44 PM	2: billing: being kept as it is to maintain our country	
3	Jul 25, 2013 4:46 PM	Sandoz / Rodley	
4	Jul 25, 2013 3:40 PM	alotments	
5	Jul 25, 2013 3:23 PM	what is PAS land? not helpful	
6	Jul 25, 2013 2:47 PM	5. land adjacent to ivy house, coffe shop, butchers,	
7	Jul 25, 2013 11:12 AM	proposals entirely due to the greed of developers -	
8	Jul 24, 2013 2:04 PM	map ref: 2 the billing: excellent and heavily used wa	
9	Jul 24, 2013 1:39 PM	Rawdon Billing could be managed to provide better	
10	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	7, east knott lane, agriculture6, west knott lane, agr	
11	Jul 24, 2013 1:17 PM	map ref:9 Riverside Mills: industry (this area seems	
12	Jul 24, 2013 1:14 PM	same on you for consulting 8+9 after planning grant	
13	Jul 24, 2013 12:50 PM	5 ivy house7 light industry	
14	Jul 24, 2013 12:43 PM	crag wood, nature reserve	
15	Jul 24, 2013 12:34 PM	crops and livestock as presently used	
16	Jul 24, 2013 12:23 PM	1 school	
17	Jul 24, 2013 11:07 AM	Riverside Mills - Provision of other facilities adjacer	
18	Jul 24, 2013 10:29 AM	don't know	
19	Jul 24, 2013 9:39 AM	5, ivy house, access issues	
20	Jul 23, 2013 1:29 PM	9. flooding?	
21	Jul 23, 2013 10:46 AM	4 crag wood add ot conservation area	
22	Jul 23, 2013 10:44 AM	only brownfield	
23	Jul 23, 2013 10:17 AM	there is plenty of brownfield land in leeds city centre	
24	Jul 22, 2013 3:05 PM	employment	
25	Jul 22, 2013 2:44 PM	billing	
26	Jul 22, 2013 2:25 PM	Field to west of Knott Lane - commercial industrial u	
27	Jul 22, 2013 12:56 PM	PAS land - parking to help Canada Road residents.	
28	Jul 22, 2013 12:04 PM	map ref 9 : riverside: employment use	
29	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	9 Riverside mills industrial	
30	Jul 22, 2013 11:39 AM	Batter Lane - Allotments	
31	Jul 22, 2013 11:35 AM	PAS Land - playing field	
32	Jul 22, 2013 11:28 AM	Riverside Mills - café/boating/river cruisesCragg W	
33	Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM	5, land adjacent to ivy house. none site is land lock	
34	Jul 22, 2013 11:12 AM	2: Billing: Green belt3 Layton Lane: greenbelt	
35	Jul 22, 2013 10:46 AM	Canada Road to Bayton Lane one parallel road to e	
36	Jul 22, 2013 10:21 AM	site 2 Rawdon billing, farming and recreational	
37	Jul 22, 2013 10:13 AM	Map ref 10: micklefield lane: dog walkers area to ke	
38	Jul 22, 2013 9:51 AM	thank you for asking us anywayfields need to be pr	
39	Jul 22, 2013 8:10 AM	field behind Ivy House, map incorrect, there is no a	
40	Jul 19, 2013 1:51 PM	Rawdon needs no further housing	
41	Jul 18, 2013 3:38 PM	Leeds city centre - brown site near station/by canal	
42	Jul 12, 2013 11:59 AM	Riverside Mills suitable for Science/Nature Reserve	
43	Jul 12, 2013 8:38 AM	try and keep the greenbelt sites	
44	Jul 9, 2013 8:48 PM	n/a	
45	Jun 23, 2013 8:24 PM	Rawdon billing to be protected as greenfield site for	

12. Are there any sites which you think are not suitable for housing but which would benefit from employment use?

Answer Options	Response Count
	185

<i>answered question</i>	185
<i>skipped question</i>	301

Number	Response Date	Response Text	Categories
1	Jul 25, 2013 6:55 PM	do not know of any	
2	Jul 25, 2013 6:51 PM	cant think of any	
3	Jul 25, 2013 6:44 PM	no	
4	Jul 25, 2013 6:24 PM	no	
5	Jul 25, 2013 6:09 PM	no	
6	Jul 25, 2013 5:45 PM	none left	
7	Jul 25, 2013 4:57 PM	no	
8	Jul 25, 2013 4:55 PM	no	
9	Jul 25, 2013 3:40 PM	no space left	
10	Jul 25, 2013 3:23 PM	no traffic problems too severe	
11	Jul 25, 2013 3:03 PM	field west of knott lane	
12	Jul 25, 2013 3:00 PM	any green belt	
13	Jul 25, 2013 1:41 PM	?	
14	Jul 25, 2013 9:51 AM	n/a	
15	Jul 25, 2013 9:34 AM	no	
16	Jul 25, 2013 9:30 AM	not sure	
17	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	see above	
18	Jul 25, 2013 9:10 AM	no	
19	Jul 24, 2013 2:44 PM	no	
20	Jul 24, 2013 2:08 PM	what is the parish council doing to protect greenfield	
21	Jul 24, 2013 1:43 PM	no	
22	Jul 24, 2013 1:39 PM	Airedale site - public amenities	
23	Jul 24, 2013 1:33 PM	don't know	
24	Jul 24, 2013 1:32 PM	no	
25	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	no	
26	Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM	no	
27	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	no	
28	Jul 24, 2013 1:09 PM	green lane naylor jennings	
29	Jul 24, 2013 1:08 PM	Not aware of any	
30	Jul 24, 2013 1:07 PM	green lane mills	
31	Jul 24, 2013 1:06 PM	no	
32	Jul 24, 2013 1:02 PM	no	
33	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	no	
34	Jul 24, 2013 12:50 PM	anywhere near a65 for a supermarket	
35	Jul 24, 2013 12:49 PM	no	
36	Jul 24, 2013 12:46 PM	none rawdon congested already	
37	Jul 24, 2013 12:42 PM	airport area	
38	Jul 24, 2013 12:40 PM	no more building in the area	
39	Jul 24, 2013 12:35 PM	none	
40	Jul 24, 2013 12:30 PM	not aware of	
41	Jul 24, 2013 12:19 PM	no	
42	Jul 24, 2013 10:58 AM	Riverside Mills would probably be better for industri	
43	Jul 24, 2013 10:54 AM	No	
44	Jul 24, 2013 10:46 AM	No	
45	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	no	
46	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	No	
47	Jul 24, 2013 10:39 AM	No	
48	Jul 24, 2013 10:39 AM	no	
49	Jul 24, 2013 10:36 AM	Riverside Mills	
50	Jul 24, 2013 10:33 AM	Don't know	
51	Jul 24, 2013 10:29 AM	don't know	

52	Jul 24, 2013 10:26 AM	No
53	Jul 24, 2013 10:08 AM	No
54	Jul 24, 2013 10:03 AM	No
55	Jul 24, 2013 9:47 AM	no would worsen road transport problems
56	Jul 24, 2013 9:39 AM	not known
57	Jul 24, 2013 9:39 AM	EX Green Lane Dyeworks Site (Rawdon/Yeadon Br
58	Jul 24, 2013 9:38 AM	no residential area only
59	Jul 24, 2013 9:33 AM	not sure
60	Jul 24, 2013 9:24 AM	no
61	Jul 24, 2013 9:23 AM	no
62	Jul 24, 2013 9:18 AM	No
63	Jul 24, 2013 9:13 AM	No
64	Jul 24, 2013 9:11 AM	There are several empty shops
65	Jul 24, 2013 9:07 AM	There are too many recent developments resulting
66	Jul 23, 2013 3:39 PM	dont know
67	Jul 23, 2013 3:37 PM	no
68	Jul 23, 2013 3:35 PM	no
69	Jul 23, 2013 3:25 PM	none
70	Jul 23, 2013 3:18 PM	no
71	Jul 23, 2013 12:27 PM	no
72	Jul 23, 2013 12:08 PM	no
73	Jul 23, 2013 12:06 PM	no keep green belt
74	Jul 23, 2013 12:01 PM	brownfield sites around airport could be developed
75	Jul 23, 2013 11:20 AM	what does this mean
76	Jul 23, 2013 11:10 AM	no
77	Jul 23, 2013 10:57 AM	new road system to access airport from ring road at
78	Jul 23, 2013 10:44 AM	no
79	Jul 23, 2013 10:24 AM	no
80	Jul 23, 2013 10:17 AM	no leave Rawdon from further development
81	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	no
82	Jul 23, 2013 9:12 AM	no
83	Jul 23, 2013 9:07 AM	Fire Station site but only with parking
84	Jul 23, 2013 9:05 AM	unknown
85	Jul 23, 2013 9:01 AM	no
86	Jul 23, 2013 8:56 AM	n/a
87	Jul 23, 2013 8:47 AM	no
88	Jul 23, 2013 8:43 AM	1 kids play area
89	Jul 22, 2013 3:17 PM	no
90	Jul 22, 2013 3:05 PM	no
91	Jul 22, 2013 2:56 PM	site 1: nature reserve headquarters for rawdon billir
92	Jul 22, 2013 2:53 PM	no
93	Jul 22, 2013 2:49 PM	no
94	Jul 22, 2013 2:44 PM	no
95	Jul 22, 2013 2:39 PM	no
96	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	no
97	Jul 22, 2013 2:33 PM	no
98	Jul 22, 2013 2:32 PM	none
99	Jul 22, 2013 2:28 PM	no
100	Jul 22, 2013 2:17 PM	no
101	Jul 22, 2013 1:08 PM	Ghyll Lane near JCT - technology centre for kids
102	Jul 22, 2013 1:05 PM	Possible joint housing and employment at Riverside
103	Jul 22, 2013 1:00 PM	No
104	Jul 22, 2013 12:56 PM	lollipop man top of Quakers Lane - dangerous
105	Jul 22, 2013 12:50 PM	no
106	Jul 22, 2013 12:46 PM	community centre/lesisure centre and sports facilites
107	Jul 22, 2013 12:45 PM	no

108	Jul 22, 2013 12:33 PM	no
109	Jul 22, 2013 12:26 PM	old dye works on green lane
110	Jul 22, 2013 12:21 PM	No
111	Jul 22, 2013 12:17 PM	n/a
112	Jul 22, 2013 12:14 PM	9 riverside mills
113	Jul 22, 2013 12:13 PM	no
114	Jul 22, 2013 12:08 PM	no
115	Jul 22, 2013 12:07 PM	no
116	Jul 22, 2013 12:06 PM	no
117	Jul 22, 2013 12:01 PM	n/a
118	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	no
119	Jul 22, 2013 11:56 AM	rawdon is big enough
120	Jul 22, 2013 11:53 AM	no
121	Jul 22, 2013 11:46 AM	not known
122	Jul 22, 2013 11:39 AM	No
123	Jul 22, 2013 11:35 AM	No
124	Jul 22, 2013 11:34 AM	none
125	Jul 22, 2013 11:31 AM	Green Lane (Site of Old Dyeworks)
126	Jul 22, 2013 11:28 AM	Maybe map ref 4 - Cragg Wood Nurseries
127	Jul 22, 2013 11:25 AM	no
128	Jul 22, 2013 11:23 AM	riverside mills
129	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	no
130	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	No
131	Jul 22, 2013 11:19 AM	no
132	Jul 22, 2013 11:18 AM	no
133	Jul 22, 2013 11:16 AM	no
134	Jul 22, 2013 11:16 AM	No more houses should be built on any sites in Rav
135	Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM	no
136	Jul 22, 2013 11:12 AM	none
137	Jul 22, 2013 11:10 AM	not aware of need
138	Jul 22, 2013 11:06 AM	not sure
139	Jul 22, 2013 11:03 AM	No
140	Jul 22, 2013 11:01 AM	No
141	Jul 22, 2013 10:56 AM	no
142	Jul 22, 2013 10:55 AM	Don't know
143	Jul 22, 2013 10:54 AM	no
144	Jul 22, 2013 10:49 AM	any
145	Jul 22, 2013 10:39 AM	No
146	Jul 22, 2013 10:36 AM	No 9
147	Jul 22, 2013 10:29 AM	No
148	Jul 22, 2013 10:28 AM	no
149	Jul 22, 2013 10:24 AM	None
150	Jul 22, 2013 10:21 AM	Don't know
151	Jul 22, 2013 10:19 AM	None
152	Jul 22, 2013 10:16 AM	N/A
153	Jul 22, 2013 10:13 AM	no
154	Jul 22, 2013 10:07 AM	Don't know
155	Jul 22, 2013 10:07 AM	no
156	Jul 22, 2013 9:54 AM	No!
157	Jul 22, 2013 9:51 AM	empty rooms above the shops in rawdon (Harrogate)
158	Jul 22, 2013 9:50 AM	No
159	Jul 22, 2013 9:43 AM	I wouldn't build in Rawdon
160	Jul 22, 2013 9:33 AM	no - sorry
161	Jul 22, 2013 9:30 AM	No
162	Jul 22, 2013 9:27 AM	redevelopment of Micklefield House/ library etc at r
163	Jul 22, 2013 8:13 AM	none

164	Jul 22, 2013 8:10 AM	many of the empty warehouse near the airport
165	Jul 22, 2013 7:59 AM	no, loss of flora and fauna
166	Jul 22, 2013 7:53 AM	none
167	Jul 22, 2013 7:50 AM	no
168	Jul 22, 2013 7:48 AM	no
169	Jul 19, 2013 2:22 PM	bayton lane south sideBrown berry lane - (horsforth
170	Jul 19, 2013 1:51 PM	Rawdon needs no further housing
171	Jul 18, 2013 4:14 PM	mill site on greenfield lane
172	Jul 18, 2013 4:08 PM	no
173	Jul 18, 2013 3:57 PM	none
174	Jul 18, 2013 3:38 PM	no
175	Jul 18, 2013 12:07 PM	Green Lane Mills should have been used for local b
176	Jul 18, 2013 11:09 AM	No
177	Jul 12, 2013 11:59 AM	Naylor Jennings off Green Lane
178	Jul 12, 2013 8:42 AM	none
179	Jul 12, 2013 8:38 AM	no
180	Jul 11, 2013 9:47 AM	no
181	Jul 11, 2013 9:41 AM	no
182	Jul 9, 2013 8:48 PM	No
183	Jul 2, 2013 7:21 PM	No
184	Jul 2, 2013 3:16 PM	Unsure of the meaning of "employment use"?
185	Jun 23, 2013 8:24 PM	No as we have turned brownfield sites in the area to

13. Would you like to receive information on the progress of the Neighbourhood Plan? If yes please fill in your contact details below.

Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	8.4%	10
No	91.6%	109
Email address		10
<i>answered question</i>		119
<i>skipped question</i>		367

Number	Response Date	Email address	Categories
1	Jul 22, 2013 10:47 AM	colin-h@ntlworld.com	
2	Jul 18, 2013 12:07 PM	pehward@thewardfamily.orangehome.co.uk	
3	Jul 10, 2013 2:25 PM	david.shann@gmicon.co.uk	
4	Jul 9, 2013 8:49 PM	ptrmitten@aol.com	
5	Jul 9, 2013 4:51 PM	douglas_mansion@O2.co.uk	
6	Jul 2, 2013 7:22 PM	jeff.lader@ntlworld.com	
7	Jul 2, 2013 3:16 PM	kegreenway@hotmail.com	
8	Jun 26, 2013 12:34 PM	gmhindle@yahoo.co.uk	
9	Jun 23, 2013 8:25 PM	Mb76@talktalk.net	
10	Jun 22, 2013 8:45 PM	mandyp@fsmail.net	

14. For monitoring purposes, please tell us your postcode.

Answer Options	Response Count
	459
<i>answered question</i>	459
<i>skipped question</i>	31

Number	Response Date	Response Text	Categories
1	Jul 25, 2013 7:09 PM	LS19 6RD	
2	Jul 25, 2013 7:06 PM	LS19 6QQ	
3	Jul 25, 2013 7:02 PM	LS19 6PQ	
4	Jul 25, 2013 6:59 PM	LS19 6JH	
5	Jul 25, 2013 6:55 PM	LS19 6QY	
6	Jul 25, 2013 6:51 PM	LS19 6EH	
7	Jul 25, 2013 6:44 PM	LS19 6PZ	
8	Jul 25, 2013 6:29 PM	LS19 6JL	
9	Jul 25, 2013 6:24 PM	LS19 6JZ	
10	Jul 25, 2013 6:09 PM	LS19 6JQ	
11	Jul 25, 2013 6:07 PM	LS19 6AZ	
12	Jul 25, 2013 5:57 PM	LS19 6BU	
13	Jul 25, 2013 5:51 PM	LS19 6BR	
14	Jul 25, 2013 5:45 PM	LS19 6PN	
15	Jul 25, 2013 5:40 PM	LS19 6AZ	
16	Jul 25, 2013 4:58 PM	LS19 6BZ	
17	Jul 25, 2013 4:55 PM	LS19 6NF	
18	Jul 25, 2013 4:50 PM	LS19 6NR	
19	Jul 25, 2013 4:47 PM	LS19 6BB	
20	Jul 25, 2013 3:47 PM	ls19 6pl	
21	Jul 25, 2013 3:43 PM	ls19 6lt	
22	Jul 25, 2013 3:40 PM	ls19 6dz	
23	Jul 25, 2013 3:38 PM	ls19 6hb	
24	Jul 25, 2013 3:31 PM	ls19 6hh	
25	Jul 25, 2013 3:24 PM	ls19 6sf	
26	Jul 25, 2013 3:10 PM	bd10 0pr	
27	Jul 25, 2013 3:04 PM	ls19 6pw	
28	Jul 25, 2013 3:00 PM	ls19 6jx	
29	Jul 25, 2013 2:57 PM	ls19 6by	
30	Jul 25, 2013 2:51 PM	ls19 6pr	
31	Jul 25, 2013 2:47 PM	ls19 6rf	
32	Jul 25, 2013 2:17 PM	LS19 6QR	
33	Jul 25, 2013 1:41 PM	LS19 6EN	
34	Jul 25, 2013 12:57 PM	ls19 6ne	
35	Jul 25, 2013 12:50 PM	LS19 6BN	
36	Jul 25, 2013 12:26 PM	LS19 6RG	
37	Jul 25, 2013 12:25 PM	LS19 6BT	
38	Jul 25, 2013 12:05 PM	LS19 6DD	
39	Jul 25, 2013 12:03 PM	LS19 6PD	
40	Jul 25, 2013 12:01 PM	LS19 6QU	
41	Jul 25, 2013 11:12 AM	ls19 6	
42	Jul 25, 2013 10:06 AM	ls19 6ps	
43	Jul 25, 2013 9:51 AM	LS19 6EE	
44	Jul 25, 2013 9:50 AM	ls19 6lr	
45	Jul 25, 2013 9:41 AM	LS19 6PF	
46	Jul 25, 2013 9:34 AM	ls19 6jn	
47	Jul 25, 2013 9:30 AM	LS19 6DZ	
48	Jul 25, 2013 9:29 AM	ls19 6rl	
49	Jul 25, 2013 9:27 AM	LS19 6LR	
50	Jul 25, 2013 9:23 AM	ls19 6hd	
51	Jul 25, 2013 9:22 AM	LS19 6NE	
52	Jul 25, 2013 9:20 AM	LS19 6EB	
53	Jul 25, 2013 9:15 AM	LS19 6HB	
54	Jul 25, 2013 9:11 AM	LS19 6PP	

55	Jul 25, 2013 9:10 AM	LS19 6
56	Jul 25, 2013 9:09 AM	LS19 6PQ
57	Jul 24, 2013 2:53 PM	LS19 6NF
58	Jul 24, 2013 2:51 PM	LS19 6JW
59	Jul 24, 2013 2:48 PM	LS19 6
60	Jul 24, 2013 2:47 PM	LS19 6
61	Jul 24, 2013 2:44 PM	LS19 6BT
62	Jul 24, 2013 2:41 PM	LS19 6LW
63	Jul 24, 2013 2:31 PM	LS19 6QY
64	Jul 24, 2013 2:25 PM	LS19 6AZ
65	Jul 24, 2013 2:08 PM	LS19 6DZ
66	Jul 24, 2013 2:04 PM	LS19 6NF
67	Jul 24, 2013 1:56 PM	LS19 6RD
68	Jul 24, 2013 1:43 PM	LS19 6EQ
69	Jul 24, 2013 1:39 PM	LS19 6PQ
70	Jul 24, 2013 1:38 PM	LS19 6NE
71	Jul 24, 2013 1:36 PM	LS19 6RD
72	Jul 24, 2013 1:34 PM	ls19 6hx
73	Jul 24, 2013 1:34 PM	LS19 6EU
74	Jul 24, 2013 1:33 PM	LS19 6QJ
75	Jul 24, 2013 1:32 PM	ls19 6jn
76	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	LS19 6NE
77	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	LS19 6RG
78	Jul 24, 2013 1:31 PM	ls19 6jl
79	Jul 24, 2013 1:29 PM	LS19 6EU
80	Jul 24, 2013 1:29 PM	LS19 6BH
81	Jul 24, 2013 1:28 PM	ls19 6de
82	Jul 24, 2013 1:26 PM	ls19 6en
83	Jul 24, 2013 1:24 PM	LS19 6DZ
84	Jul 24, 2013 1:22 PM	LS19 6DD
85	Jul 24, 2013 1:22 PM	BD10 0PL
86	Jul 24, 2013 1:21 PM	ls18 5rn
87	Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM	LS19 6JW
88	Jul 24, 2013 1:20 PM	ls19 6jw
89	Jul 24, 2013 1:18 PM	LS19 6LR
90	Jul 24, 2013 1:16 PM	ls19 6lp
91	Jul 24, 2013 1:16 PM	LS19 6QU
92	Jul 24, 2013 1:15 PM	ls19 6hj
93	Jul 24, 2013 1:13 PM	LS19 6RN
94	Jul 24, 2013 1:12 PM	LS19 6PW
95	Jul 24, 2013 1:11 PM	bd10
96	Jul 24, 2013 1:11 PM	LS19 6JG
97	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	ls19 6ne
98	Jul 24, 2013 1:10 PM	LS19 6NE
99	Jul 24, 2013 1:09 PM	ls19 6
100	Jul 24, 2013 1:09 PM	LS19 6RQ
101	Jul 24, 2013 1:07 PM	ls19 6lr
102	Jul 24, 2013 1:06 PM	LS19 6LU
103	Jul 24, 2013 1:04 PM	ls19 6ne
104	Jul 24, 2013 1:04 PM	LS19 6AZ
105	Jul 24, 2013 1:03 PM	bd10
106	Jul 24, 2013 1:03 PM	LS19 6PN
107	Jul 24, 2013 1:02 PM	ls19 6ne
108	Jul 24, 2013 1:00 PM	ls19 6dq
109	Jul 24, 2013 1:00 PM	LS19 6DG
110	Jul 24, 2013 12:59 PM	ls19 6ne

111	Jul 24, 2013 12:58 PM	ls19 6bs
112	Jul 24, 2013 12:58 PM	BD10 0PP
113	Jul 24, 2013 12:56 PM	ls19 6hh
114	Jul 24, 2013 12:55 PM	ls19 6dl
115	Jul 24, 2013 12:55 PM	LS19 6RN
116	Jul 24, 2013 12:54 PM	ls19 6pa
117	Jul 24, 2013 12:53 PM	LS19 6EH
118	Jul 24, 2013 12:53 PM	ls19 6ph
119	Jul 24, 2013 12:52 PM	LS19 6BT
120	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	ls19 6nr
121	Jul 24, 2013 12:51 PM	LS19 6BA
122	Jul 24, 2013 12:50 PM	ls19 6qq
123	Jul 24, 2013 12:49 PM	LS19 6
124	Jul 24, 2013 12:47 PM	ls19 6sd
125	Jul 24, 2013 12:46 PM	ls19 6eq
126	Jul 24, 2013 12:43 PM	ls19 6pf
127	Jul 24, 2013 12:42 PM	ls19 6nq
128	Jul 24, 2013 12:40 PM	ls19 6ef
129	Jul 24, 2013 12:38 PM	ls19 6rb
130	Jul 24, 2013 12:36 PM	ls19 6pn
131	Jul 24, 2013 12:34 PM	ls19 6jj
132	Jul 24, 2013 12:31 PM	ls19 6ph
133	Jul 24, 2013 12:30 PM	ls19 6rb
134	Jul 24, 2013 12:28 PM	ls19 6jh
135	Jul 24, 2013 12:25 PM	ls19 6qg
136	Jul 24, 2013 12:24 PM	ls19 6pj
137	Jul 24, 2013 12:21 PM	ls19 6pl
138	Jul 24, 2013 12:20 PM	ls19 6be
139	Jul 24, 2013 12:19 PM	ls19 6jb
140	Jul 24, 2013 12:18 PM	ls19 6el
141	Jul 24, 2013 12:15 PM	ls19 6pl
142	Jul 24, 2013 11:09 AM	LS19 6QQ
143	Jul 24, 2013 11:07 AM	LS19 6PQ
144	Jul 24, 2013 11:00 AM	LS19 6LG
145	Jul 24, 2013 10:58 AM	LS19 6NH
146	Jul 24, 2013 10:55 AM	LS19 6NT
147	Jul 24, 2013 10:50 AM	LS19 6JB
148	Jul 24, 2013 10:47 AM	LS19 6PH
149	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	ls19 6jn
150	Jul 24, 2013 10:44 AM	LS19 6JN
151	Jul 24, 2013 10:42 AM	ls19 6rq
152	Jul 24, 2013 10:41 AM	LS19 6NH
153	Jul 24, 2013 10:39 AM	LS19 6LR
154	Jul 24, 2013 10:39 AM	ls19 6rg
155	Jul 24, 2013 10:36 AM	LS19 6BX
156	Jul 24, 2013 10:34 AM	LS19 6DA
157	Jul 24, 2013 10:31 AM	LS19 6QQ
158	Jul 24, 2013 10:29 AM	LS19 6QB
159	Jul 24, 2013 10:26 AM	LS19 6LR
160	Jul 24, 2013 10:11 AM	LS19 6LS
161	Jul 24, 2013 10:08 AM	LS19 6NX
162	Jul 24, 2013 10:05 AM	LS19 6RN
163	Jul 24, 2013 10:03 AM	LS19
164	Jul 24, 2013 10:01 AM	ls19 6qq
165	Jul 24, 2013 10:00 AM	LS19 6LT
166	Jul 24, 2013 9:57 AM	LS19 6LR

167	Jul 24, 2013 9:54 AM	LS19 6QQ
168	Jul 24, 2013 9:51 AM	ls19 6jn
169	Jul 24, 2013 9:47 AM	ls19 6pp
170	Jul 24, 2013 9:44 AM	ls19 6jg
171	Jul 24, 2013 9:39 AM	ls19 6pe
172	Jul 24, 2013 9:39 AM	LS19 6BS
173	Jul 24, 2013 9:38 AM	ls19 6sd
174	Jul 24, 2013 9:34 AM	ls19 6hu
175	Jul 24, 2013 9:27 AM	ls19 6dg
176	Jul 24, 2013 9:27 AM	LS19 6RN
177	Jul 24, 2013 9:25 AM	ls19 6ph
178	Jul 24, 2013 9:18 AM	LS19 6NE
179	Jul 24, 2013 9:16 AM	LS19 6NE
180	Jul 24, 2013 9:14 AM	LS19 6NR
181	Jul 24, 2013 9:11 AM	LS19 6SD
182	Jul 24, 2013 9:07 AM	LS19 6BH
183	Jul 24, 2013 8:58 AM	ls19 6jh
184	Jul 23, 2013 3:39 PM	ls19 6pf
185	Jul 23, 2013 3:37 PM	ls19 6ph
186	Jul 23, 2013 3:35 PM	ls19 6ll
187	Jul 23, 2013 3:25 PM	ls19 6eu
188	Jul 23, 2013 3:22 PM	ls19 6ku
189	Jul 23, 2013 3:19 PM	ls19 6rg
190	Jul 23, 2013 3:14 PM	ls19 6nh
191	Jul 23, 2013 1:37 PM	ls19 6dg
192	Jul 23, 2013 1:29 PM	ls19 6pg
193	Jul 23, 2013 1:26 PM	ls19 6bs
194	Jul 23, 2013 1:23 PM	ls19 6lt
195	Jul 23, 2013 1:17 PM	ls19 6qu
196	Jul 23, 2013 1:13 PM	ls19 6nq
197	Jul 23, 2013 12:28 PM	ls19 6az
198	Jul 23, 2013 12:26 PM	ls19 6ef
199	Jul 23, 2013 12:24 PM	ls19 6rg
200	Jul 23, 2013 12:22 PM	ls19 6jz
201	Jul 23, 2013 12:08 PM	ls19 6ps
202	Jul 23, 2013 12:06 PM	ls19 6hd
203	Jul 23, 2013 12:02 PM	ls19 6sd
204	Jul 23, 2013 11:20 AM	poor survey!
205	Jul 23, 2013 11:19 AM	ls19 6en
206	Jul 23, 2013 11:17 AM	ls19 6qn
207	Jul 23, 2013 11:16 AM	ls19 6jz
208	Jul 23, 2013 11:10 AM	ls19 6ps
209	Jul 23, 2013 11:09 AM	ls19 6eq
210	Jul 23, 2013 11:08 AM	ls19 6ed
211	Jul 23, 2013 11:04 AM	ls19 6ee
212	Jul 23, 2013 10:57 AM	ls19 6rf
213	Jul 23, 2013 10:46 AM	ls19 6jz
214	Jul 23, 2013 10:44 AM	ls19 6nf
215	Jul 23, 2013 10:43 AM	ls19 6rq
216	Jul 23, 2013 10:28 AM	ls19 6jj
217	Jul 23, 2013 10:25 AM	ls19 6ne
218	Jul 23, 2013 10:23 AM	ls19 6lr
219	Jul 23, 2013 10:21 AM	ls19 6dg
220	Jul 23, 2013 10:18 AM	ls19 6az
221	Jul 23, 2013 10:12 AM	ls19 6en
222	Jul 23, 2013 9:25 AM	LS19 6EH

223	Jul 23, 2013 9:23 AM	LS19 6PD
224	Jul 23, 2013 9:22 AM	LS19 6PQ
225	Jul 23, 2013 9:12 AM	LS19 6LT
226	Jul 23, 2013 9:09 AM	LS19 6EG
227	Jul 23, 2013 9:07 AM	ls19 6dd
228	Jul 23, 2013 9:06 AM	LS19 6HU
229	Jul 23, 2013 9:03 AM	ls19 6ph
230	Jul 23, 2013 8:57 AM	LS19 6PE
231	Jul 23, 2013 8:54 AM	ls19 6qb
232	Jul 23, 2013 8:50 AM	LS19 6NH
233	Jul 23, 2013 8:49 AM	BD10 0PH
234	Jul 23, 2013 8:49 AM	LS19 6LG
235	Jul 23, 2013 8:48 AM	LS19 6RQ
236	Jul 23, 2013 8:47 AM	LS19 6LA
237	Jul 23, 2013 8:47 AM	LS19 6EJ
238	Jul 23, 2013 8:46 AM	LS19 6EN
239	Jul 23, 2013 8:43 AM	ls19 6nt
240	Jul 23, 2013 8:39 AM	ls19 6qy
241	Jul 23, 2013 8:36 AM	ls19 6qd
242	Jul 23, 2013 8:32 AM	LS19 6HH
243	Jul 22, 2013 4:01 PM	LS19 6JN
244	Jul 22, 2013 3:55 PM	LS19 6JG
245	Jul 22, 2013 3:52 PM	ls19 6dz
246	Jul 22, 2013 3:23 PM	ls19 6la
247	Jul 22, 2013 3:22 PM	ls19 6pn
248	Jul 22, 2013 3:19 PM	LS19 6EL
249	Jul 22, 2013 3:17 PM	ls19 6qb
250	Jul 22, 2013 3:16 PM	ls19 6sd
251	Jul 22, 2013 3:16 PM	LS19 6HD
252	Jul 22, 2013 3:12 PM	LS19 6JX
253	Jul 22, 2013 3:12 PM	ls19 6rg
254	Jul 22, 2013 3:09 PM	LS19 6DQ
255	Jul 22, 2013 3:08 PM	LS19 6DZ
256	Jul 22, 2013 3:06 PM	bd10 0pp
257	Jul 22, 2013 3:05 PM	LS19 6RL
258	Jul 22, 2013 2:56 PM	LS19 6QQ
259	Jul 22, 2013 2:53 PM	LS19 6NG
260	Jul 22, 2013 2:49 PM	LS19 6
261	Jul 22, 2013 2:47 PM	LS19 6EU
262	Jul 22, 2013 2:45 PM	ls19 6ep
263	Jul 22, 2013 2:40 PM	ls19 6bj
264	Jul 22, 2013 2:39 PM	ls19 6rl
265	Jul 22, 2013 2:39 PM	LS19 6PW
266	Jul 22, 2013 2:36 PM	ls19 6nx
267	Jul 22, 2013 2:36 PM	LS19 6PQ
268	Jul 22, 2013 2:34 PM	ls19
269	Jul 22, 2013 2:33 PM	BD10 0PN
270	Jul 22, 2013 2:33 PM	ls19 6dq
271	Jul 22, 2013 2:31 PM	LS19 6LE
272	Jul 22, 2013 2:30 PM	ls19 6bp
273	Jul 22, 2013 2:28 PM	LS19 6EE
274	Jul 22, 2013 2:27 PM	ls19 6hr
275	Jul 22, 2013 2:25 PM	ls19 6hx
276	Jul 22, 2013 2:25 PM	LS19 6QS
277	Jul 22, 2013 2:24 PM	ls19 6sd
278	Jul 22, 2013 2:22 PM	ls19 6jb

279	Jul 22, 2013 2:21 PM	LS19 6DD
280	Jul 22, 2013 2:20 PM	ls19 6af
281	Jul 22, 2013 2:17 PM	ls19 6rl
282	Jul 22, 2013 2:15 PM	ls19 6el
283	Jul 22, 2013 2:08 PM	ls19 6lf
284	Jul 22, 2013 2:04 PM	ls19 6dj
285	Jul 22, 2013 2:02 PM	ls19 6be
286	Jul 22, 2013 2:00 PM	ls19 6nf
287	Jul 22, 2013 1:12 PM	LS19
288	Jul 22, 2013 1:09 PM	LS19 6SG
289	Jul 22, 2013 1:05 PM	LS19 6JQ
290	Jul 22, 2013 1:00 PM	LS19 6NR
291	Jul 22, 2013 12:56 PM	LS19 6LP
292	Jul 22, 2013 12:52 PM	LS19 6JZ
293	Jul 22, 2013 12:50 PM	LS19 6RQ
294	Jul 22, 2013 12:46 PM	LS19 6LR
295	Jul 22, 2013 12:46 PM	LS19 6NG
296	Jul 22, 2013 12:46 PM	ls19
297	Jul 22, 2013 12:43 PM	LS19 6SG
298	Jul 22, 2013 12:43 PM	LS19 6EQ
299	Jul 22, 2013 12:39 PM	LS19 6LU
300	Jul 22, 2013 12:38 PM	LS19 6NE
301	Jul 22, 2013 12:34 PM	LS19 6BH
302	Jul 22, 2013 12:33 PM	LS19 6QR
303	Jul 22, 2013 12:31 PM	LS19 6RA
304	Jul 22, 2013 12:29 PM	LS19 6LR
305	Jul 22, 2013 12:26 PM	LS19 6QP
306	Jul 22, 2013 12:21 PM	LS19 6LT
307	Jul 22, 2013 12:20 PM	LS19 6BN
308	Jul 22, 2013 12:19 PM	ls19 6nr
309	Jul 22, 2013 12:19 PM	LS19 6BA
310	Jul 22, 2013 12:17 PM	LS19 6EU
311	Jul 22, 2013 12:17 PM	ls19 6de
312	Jul 22, 2013 12:16 PM	LS19 6EN
313	Jul 22, 2013 12:14 PM	LS19 6JQ
314	Jul 22, 2013 12:13 PM	ls19 6sb
315	Jul 22, 2013 12:12 PM	LS19 6AX
316	Jul 22, 2013 12:09 PM	LS19 6EF
317	Jul 22, 2013 12:08 PM	ls19 6el
318	Jul 22, 2013 12:06 PM	LS19 6LH
319	Jul 22, 2013 12:04 PM	LS19 6QR
320	Jul 22, 2013 12:02 PM	LS19 6SG
321	Jul 22, 2013 12:01 PM	LS19 6
322	Jul 22, 2013 12:00 PM	ls19 6de
323	Jul 22, 2013 11:58 AM	ls19 6bj
324	Jul 22, 2013 11:57 AM	LS19 6EF
325	Jul 22, 2013 11:56 AM	LS19 6nh
326	Jul 22, 2013 11:56 AM	LS19 6NE
327	Jul 22, 2013 11:55 AM	ls19 6pn
328	Jul 22, 2013 11:54 AM	ls19 6ne
329	Jul 22, 2013 11:53 AM	LS19 6SD
330	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	LS19 6LU
331	Jul 22, 2013 11:52 AM	bd10 0nx
332	Jul 22, 2013 11:51 AM	LS19 6DZ
333	Jul 22, 2013 11:49 AM	LS19 6SG
334	Jul 22, 2013 11:48 AM	LS19 6en

335	Jul 22, 2013 11:46 AM	LS19 6DZ
336	Jul 22, 2013 11:45 AM	LS19 6NX
337	Jul 22, 2013 11:44 AM	none given
338	Jul 22, 2013 11:39 AM	LS19 6HS
339	Jul 22, 2013 11:36 AM	LS19 6BH
340	Jul 22, 2013 11:35 AM	LS19 6RL
341	Jul 22, 2013 11:34 AM	LS19 6NH
342	Jul 22, 2013 11:32 AM	LS19 6NH
343	Jul 22, 2013 11:31 AM	LS19 6EF
344	Jul 22, 2013 11:28 AM	LS19 6DD
345	Jul 22, 2013 11:25 AM	LS19 6HH
346	Jul 22, 2013 11:24 AM	LS19 6EB
347	Jul 22, 2013 11:22 AM	LS19 6
348	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	LS19 6QN
349	Jul 22, 2013 11:20 AM	LS19 6RJ
350	Jul 22, 2013 11:19 AM	LS19 6EQ
351	Jul 22, 2013 11:18 AM	BD10 0PJ
352	Jul 22, 2013 11:17 AM	LS19 6BB
353	Jul 22, 2013 11:16 AM	LS19 6EV
354	Jul 22, 2013 11:15 AM	LS19 6EL
355	Jul 22, 2013 11:14 AM	LS19 6HD
356	Jul 22, 2013 11:13 AM	LS19 6JZ
357	Jul 22, 2013 11:12 AM	LS19 6RQ
358	Jul 22, 2013 11:10 AM	LS19 6PR
359	Jul 22, 2013 11:08 AM	LS19
360	Jul 22, 2013 11:06 AM	LS19 6LU
361	Jul 22, 2013 11:06 AM	LS19 6PG
362	Jul 22, 2013 11:04 AM	LS19 6NF
363	Jul 22, 2013 11:03 AM	LS19 6JX
364	Jul 22, 2013 11:01 AM	LS19 6HB
365	Jul 22, 2013 11:00 AM	LS19 6NQ
366	Jul 22, 2013 10:58 AM	LS19 6BG
367	Jul 22, 2013 10:56 AM	LS19 6EN
368	Jul 22, 2013 10:55 AM	LS19 6PA
369	Jul 22, 2013 10:55 AM	LS19 6JQ
370	Jul 22, 2013 10:49 AM	LS19 6LS
371	Jul 22, 2013 10:47 AM	LS19 6PA
372	Jul 22, 2013 10:47 AM	LS19 6LT
373	Jul 22, 2013 10:40 AM	LS19 6SG
374	Jul 22, 2013 10:40 AM	LS19 6EQ
375	Jul 22, 2013 10:37 AM	LS19 6EB
376	Jul 22, 2013 10:36 AM	LS19 6EF
377	Jul 22, 2013 10:36 AM	LS19 6LL
378	Jul 22, 2013 10:34 AM	LS19 6NH
379	Jul 22, 2013 10:32 AM	LS19 6PN
380	Jul 22, 2013 10:31 AM	LS19 6HD
381	Jul 22, 2013 10:30 AM	LS19 6HD
382	Jul 22, 2013 10:29 AM	LS19 6HF
383	Jul 22, 2013 10:29 AM	LS10 6PN
384	Jul 22, 2013 10:25 AM	LS19 6AX
385	Jul 22, 2013 10:24 AM	LS19 6NE
386	Jul 22, 2013 10:21 AM	LS19 6EH
387	Jul 22, 2013 10:21 AM	LS19 6LH
388	Jul 22, 2013 10:21 AM	LS19 6RG
389	Jul 22, 2013 10:19 AM	LS19 6NE
390	Jul 22, 2013 10:17 AM	LS19 6ES

391	Jul 22, 2013 10:16 AM	LS19 6EL
392	Jul 22, 2013 10:16 AM	LS19 6HB
393	Jul 22, 2013 10:13 AM	LS19 6RA
394	Jul 22, 2013 10:13 AM	LS19 6LU
395	Jul 22, 2013 10:10 AM	LS19 6QP
396	Jul 22, 2013 10:07 AM	LS19 6PH
397	Jul 22, 2013 10:07 AM	BD10 0PS
398	Jul 22, 2013 9:59 AM	LS19 6EH
399	Jul 22, 2013 9:54 AM	LS19 6EN
400	Jul 22, 2013 9:54 AM	LS196JZ
401	Jul 22, 2013 9:51 AM	LS19 6LL
402	Jul 22, 2013 9:50 AM	LS19 6QQ
403	Jul 22, 2013 9:48 AM	LS19 6ND
404	Jul 22, 2013 9:43 AM	LS19 6NH
405	Jul 22, 2013 9:41 AM	LS19 6LT
406	Jul 22, 2013 9:37 AM	LS19 6QB
407	Jul 22, 2013 9:33 AM	LS19 6PN
408	Jul 22, 2013 9:33 AM	LS19 6LL
409	Jul 22, 2013 9:30 AM	LS19 6EU
410	Jul 22, 2013 9:27 AM	LS19 6PZ
411	Jul 22, 2013 9:23 AM	LS19 6BW
412	Jul 22, 2013 9:19 AM	LS19 6EL
413	Jul 22, 2013 9:18 AM	ls19 6ry
414	Jul 22, 2013 8:13 AM	LS19 6RG
415	Jul 22, 2013 8:10 AM	LS19 6EL
416	Jul 22, 2013 7:59 AM	ls19 6rg
417	Jul 22, 2013 7:53 AM	ls19 6LL
418	Jul 22, 2013 7:50 AM	ls19 6sg
419	Jul 22, 2013 7:48 AM	ls19 6rj
420	Jul 22, 2013 7:46 AM	LS19 6NT
421	Jul 19, 2013 2:41 PM	LS19 6LU
422	Jul 19, 2013 2:38 PM	LS19 6QU
423	Jul 19, 2013 2:32 PM	LS19 6RN
424	Jul 19, 2013 2:29 PM	LS19 6SD
425	Jul 19, 2013 2:25 PM	LS19 6EN
426	Jul 19, 2013 2:22 PM	LS19 6QQ
427	Jul 19, 2013 1:56 PM	LS19 6LS
428	Jul 19, 2013 1:54 PM	LS19 6QG
429	Jul 19, 2013 1:51 PM	LS19
430	Jul 19, 2013 1:49 PM	LS19 6EN
431	Jul 19, 2013 1:46 PM	LS19 6LR
432	Jul 19, 2013 1:31 PM	LS19 6BG
433	Jul 18, 2013 4:14 PM	LS19 6BE
434	Jul 18, 2013 4:09 PM	LS19 6EF
435	Jul 18, 2013 4:00 PM	LS19 6NE
436	Jul 18, 2013 3:54 PM	ls19 6nt
437	Jul 18, 2013 3:51 PM	ls19 6lt
438	Jul 18, 2013 3:46 PM	ls19 6ef
439	Jul 18, 2013 3:38 PM	ls19 6en
440	Jul 18, 2013 12:07 PM	LS19 6BR
441	Jul 18, 2013 11:09 AM	Ls16 7jl
442	Jul 12, 2013 11:59 AM	LS19 6BJ
443	Jul 12, 2013 8:42 AM	LS19 6NR
444	Jul 12, 2013 8:38 AM	LS19 6QB
445	Jul 11, 2013 9:48 AM	ls19 6en
446	Jul 11, 2013 9:41 AM	LS19 6RG

447	Jul 11, 2013 9:09 AM	LS19 6LR
448	Jul 11, 2013 8:56 AM	LS19 6NJ
449	Jul 10, 2013 2:25 PM	LS19 6LG
450	Jul 9, 2013 8:49 PM	LS196JJ
451	Jul 9, 2013 4:51 PM	LS19 6QY
452	Jul 8, 2013 8:47 PM	LS19
453	Jul 2, 2013 7:22 PM	ls19 6dn
454	Jul 2, 2013 3:16 PM	LS19 6BS
455	Jun 27, 2013 10:53 AM	ls18 1EP
456	Jun 26, 2013 12:34 PM	LS19 6EL
457	Jun 23, 2013 8:38 PM	LS19 6NJ
458	Jun 23, 2013 8:25 PM	Ls19 6nj
459	Jun 22, 2013 8:45 PM	LS19 6EL

x					1
					1
to safeguards co	x				1
					1
					1
x					1
					1
					1
x					1
ority, too many council houses transferred to private	x				1
ect our precious greenfield and greenbelt e.g. Billing, Cragg Wood R	x				1
					1
re are too many	x				1
					1
x					1
x					1
					1
housing	x				1
x					1
					1
					0
ig is best sited nex		x.			1
					0
iral feel				x	1
					0
x					1
					1
					1
encroachment				x	1
					1
x					1
					1
					1
					1
					1
					0
					1
people buying up and renting out at extortionate prices					0
					1
velopment	x				1
ing will spoil the vi	x				1
					1
					0
					1
					1
					1
					1
					0
x					1
					1
x					1
					1

x						1
						1
						1
						1
						0
						1
						1
						1
						1
w remaining green	58	19	1	4	10	1

gements

renovation	village	brownfield	greenspace
------------	---------	------------	------------

x

only

	x	x
--	---	---

nfields

	x
	x

x

scale to retain the nature of the village

	x
	x

w houses in rawdon

	x	x
	x	

ed

ed

ped

x

ch year

n site size

x

ngth of Rawdon with A65 and school traffic at peak periods
d not interfering with the areas of natural beauty

x

and appropriate sites

are sold out and the infrastructure improved, build should stop

x

x

x

t status.

x

are usually small.

They seem to remain under construction for years, and the homes seem to be very crammed into the

6

2

20

6

131

greenbelt	greenfield ok	none	unusable
-----------	---------------	------	----------

stand

x

x

x

x

x

ed by so many people use this locally for leisure it w
eld and green belt

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x
x
x
x
x
x
x
x
x
x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

houses

x

x

x

x

x

x

houses with Yeadon on one side and horsforth on the c

x

It around here-see answer to question 2. If the greenfield sites of Rawdon are built on then its country :

t include solar panels for electricity and heat. Water tanks underground for "brown water" to flush loos.

y

maintained - not red brick and modern garish structures that are completely out of place. Leave architect

lings

neral traditional materials are more in keeping with an area, and modern materials and architecture ca

Categories	3.	Categories	transport	schools	doctors	other
roads			x			
"feel" to develop	ensure new builds fit with existing		x			x
sport	more double yellow lines near schools		x			
	more doctors		x	x	x	
	more bus routes		x	x		
and dental provis	avoid clogging up current roads by				x	
artists				x	x	
on of school plac	increased, permanent protection for			x		x
	infrastructure such as access, road			x		
don is trapped by the a65, the a658, green lane + th			x			
equate off street parking			x			
d be small for 1st off street parking should be consid			x			x
iesley nr leisure c	in my opinion the area has reached					
amenities, shops	safe routes to schools for children			x		
	health establishment - more doctors			x	x	
us down a65 to leeds			x			
ion etc			x			
sing	Environmentally friendly - eco housing					x
	natural environment		x			x
al dental practices	adequate highways and public transport		x	x	x	
	doctors		x	x	x	
s to keep local lit	more facilities for young people/teenagers		x			
doctors and dentis	school spaces are required		x	x	x	
on at rodley/calve	invest in schools, healthcare centres		x	x	x	
			x			
sport	better schools		x	x		
			x			
s on the road. Th	the infrastructure of Rawdon Village			x		
ie in keeping with surrounding dwellings						x
und facilities off	more housing for the elderly					x
eries	thoughts to be given to the traffic, x			x	x	

sport links	infrastrure - traffic flow	x	x		
ses and tranis sh	availability of school places should	x	x		
s on Leeds road	narrowing of footpaths (pavement	x			
agement, unlike g	depending on amount - new schoo	x	x		
asures on rat rur	cycle lanes	x			
by developers	greater local school provision	x	x		
tops and bus routes		x	x		
es	school places	x	x		
ols	build more surgeries (doctors and	x	x	x	
eeded		x			
vice and increase	more bus stops and busses neede	x			
er retired/infirm r	e price range to include part-owners	x			x
					x
	more schools	x	x		
	doggy bins	x			x
limited	quality materials must be used	x			x
	railway station	x			
und it		x			
etween rawdon & yeadon		x			
ctors	make sure that the infrastructure c	x	x	x	
	no				x
	resident street signs and fines/not	x			
and stops		x			
vacy/views/lives c	make sure adequate services - already overwhelmed in this area				x
and cycling facilities should be improved		x			x
parking	move/improved public transport	x			
ool places	improved bus service	x	x		x
		x			
port through rawc	let police know where rawdon is				x
places required		x	x		
spaces, fottpaths	quality of construction and layout	x			x
te					x
awdon village can't take more traffic		x	x		
et parking restrict	increased school & health provisic	x	x	x	
ons	avoid a65	x			x
	more school places	x	x	x	
in existing styles	schools to be developed	x	x		x
	consideration of existing residenc	x	x		x
ationof the extra ti	style of housing	x			x
ng	keep green spaces	x			x
_eeds	resident only parking areas	x			
	bus stops/services	x			
		x			
	no wind turbines				x
utilised	conservation areas are protected	x			x
		x	x		
sport links within	another post office	x			x
ansport	drainage	x	x		x
lit under Highway	Impact on infrastructure (schools,	x	x		x
	recreation spaces		x	x	x
	traffic control	x	x	x	
	better roads	x	x	x	
ds	more traffic lights	x			
sport	residential streets not used as rat	x			
	doctors/dentists	x	x	x	
and medical etc p	planning permission for lower den	x	x	x	x

	doctors	x	x	x	
nts	schools	x	x		
		x	x		x
h all properties	it would be good to have more det	x			x
ansport	doctors/dentists	x	x	x	
sion	leisure facilities	x			x
		x			
tenance	doctors overstretched	x	x	x	
ady nightmare		x			
		x		x	
		x			
	shops		x	x	
		x			
			x		
	railway station	x			x
	doctors	x	x	x	
ng	traffic control	x	x		
	schools	x	x		
		x			
		x			
	room on the A65	x	x	x	
		x			
	adequate off street parking	x			x
ation of neighbour	improved safety of town st	x			x
	adequate off street parking	x	x	x	
	roads	x	x		
	limit development	x	x		
rium	shops	x			x
		x			
s, Bradford Harro	Bus park and ride facility to ease /	x			
'Cemetery Road by the Albert		x			
roved	off street parking	x	x		x
ontrol	improve leisure facilities	x			x
					x
ansport	airport access	x			
		x			
		x			
s - no more build	facilities for children - playing area	x			x
					x
	school and shops	x	x		x
onics needed	more traffic calming measures ne	x	x	x	
supermarket maybe		x			x
geries	better roads	x	x	x	
	infrastructure	x	x	x	
o grow vegetable	bus stops and small food shops				x
ing/widening or diverting		x			
iltities			x	x	
ads already gridlocked		x			
js not in keeping with area					x
rtists are needed	roads need to be widened/extend	x	x	x	
ort facilities and ir	strict control over airport use and	x			x
ies	schools	x	x		x
airport		x			

over crowded A65	schools	x	x		
	sheltered accomodation	x	x		x
ng	bus stops/services	x			
facilities e.g bank	If LCC want to extend Rawdon by population then it needs provisions stor	x			x
ng	no blocks of flats	x			x
ng	doctors/dentists	x		x	
to reduce road tra	car parking to avoid roadside park	x			
	room on the A65	x			
countryside	the wildlife it would affect	x			x
	Disabled access	x			x
ovement - less airport traffic		x			
ilities	Measures to ease gridlock on locæ	x	x	x	
ildings will affect	More facilities needed doctors/der	x	x	x	
flow to be properl	schools, doctors	x	x	x	
	schools	x	x		
		x			x
ments	in character ie no' affordbale hous	x			x
increase	all large green spaces should not be developed				x
ongestion	roads	x	x		
ongestion	should not extend beyond current	x			x
	recreation spaces	x	x		x
		x	x		
	in keeping with local area	x			x
	no large developments	x			x
	schools	x	x		
	ammenites	x	x		x
		x	x		
outes		x			
flow to be properl	roads	x			
	ammenites		x		x
al to residential	traditional materials to maintain lo	x			x
	doctors	x	x	x	
	maintain primium/ high quality rep	x			x
	no development on greenfield or greenbelt		x		x
					x
	public transport	x		x	
	public transport	x			
	public transport	x	x	x	
		x		x	
in large developr	adequate off street parking	x			
	ammenites	x	x		
airport	new primary school and supermar	x	x		
flow to be properl	adequate off street parking				x
	schools	x	x	x	
ton Lane		x			
	doctors/dentists	x	x	x	
	roads	x	x	x	
		x			
affic which is ridiculous		x			
nning regs	brownfield only	x			x
	doctors	x	x	x	
transport eg more	improved parking to access shops	x	x		x
					x
reen houses	improved bus network/regularity	x			x
ients	greenbelt and agricultural land no	x	x		x
n Lane	protect billing and Layton Lane	x			x

s) in new builds					X
kids			X		X
	must have larger gardens, no tarmac				X
almost	traditional materials to be used	X			X
working near schools		X			
	improved alternative routes to Lee		X		
services	RESTRICTIONS ON RAWDON TC		X		
increased popul	increased control of local traffic, ie		X		
present and future	build with materials in keeping with				X
l contribute to prc	developer should contribute to public transport, more user friendly/affordable				X
en to increasing	allocating/protecting greenbelt - e.g.				X
provision	improvements to local amenities e.g.		X		X
kids		X			X
	mobile fish and chip	X			X
routes and buses		X			
	shops	X	X		X
ities	improved public transport	X			X
is	childrens play	X	X		X
	shops	X	X		X
	congestion	X	X		
	railway station	X			
sport	rate incentives for small individual	X			
ists	road planning to reduce congestion	X	X		
		X			X
		X	X		
		X			
gn	affordable housing to be shared e.g.	X			X
	better transport links	X	X	X	
rd-terbine on billi	Access bus providing integrated c	X			X
	doctors/dentists	X	X	X	
ads	additional school places and medi	X	X	X	
ould be protected		X			X
rd footpath maint	speed reduction measures to be ii	X			
	public transport	X	X	X	
		X			
aire valley		X			
		X			
]		X			
pace and landscape		X			
	new housing not to overshadow o	X	X		
	doctors	X	X	X	
ansport	leisure facilities	X			X
		X			X
		X			
events - Greena	more children's play areas/seats and gardens for seniors		X		X
		X			
ng	housing should blend with surrou	X			X
		X			
	health services	X		X	
	new roads to be built to relieve tr	X	X	X	
oads and access	number of units per site	X			X
	shops	X		X	X
o be put into system - guided bus lanes		X			
es, dentists etc (C	More schools would be needed	X	X	X	
or use of children	Extend local schools for more spa	X	X		

services	enough school places?	x	x		
ation	minimal disruption				x
inks		x	x		
icilities for existing housing		x			
	Shops to service the sites	x			x
ads and link roads to the airport via other routes		x	x		
/road maintain an A65 at peak times - how long will it		x			x
1 - Canada road is a nightmare to drive along at times		x	x	x	
is way too much traffic		x	x		
to and from sites	build where existing access can be	x			
	GP services	x	x	x	
available ie no ne	no impact on current services				
es	more doctors/dentists	x	x	x	
	spread over a mix of locations				x
	water supplies	x	x		x
ool places	Access to GP services	x	x	x	
ng school facilities	new roads	x	x		
ces for more resi	more bus stops and busses needed	x			x
hip property to eng	good bus services	x			x
rk	sufficient parking	x			
field and green belt		x			x
th clinic	a better bus service for the "village"	x	x	x	
agement eg traffi	parking must be off road	x			
ousing sites		x			
or two cars	no high density housing or flats	x			
;	bigger schools to accommodate more	x	x		x
t environment - ie	consider the impact on natural environment	x			x
d improved	improved public transport buses available	x			
		x			
character of rawdon		x			x
d on A65		x	x		
		x			x
		x			x
ing		x			x
		x			
road and A65 co	more school places needed to cater for	x	x		
ered		x			x
	more childrens crossings	x			
					x
vided or money for	more doctors, dentists etc to care for	x	x	x	
rsforth to be extended	Abandonment of proposed 20mph zone	x			x
ed traffic on A65	reliable bus service to Leeds and Rawdon	x			
	Fewer pubs and charity shops	x	x		x
/police patrols for	good access to main roads from Rawdon	x			x
		x			x
	more bus routes are needed	x	x	x	
	safety	x			x
rdon					x
Rawdon cannot support any further development		x			
buildings	consideration on traffic flow	x			x
deep of Woodlands drive as do all other residents					x
s - airport	non use of green belt	x			x
	parking	x	x		
	access to ham road a65 (gridlock)	x			
s ect so not a cor	if possible walking distance from Rawdon	x			

		X			
Children could attend	add shops, community buildings	X			X
					X
	focus on small roads	X	X		
		X	X		
	there should be non built	X	X		
transport	more car parking, too much on roads	X			
provision	establish community buildings as	X			X
percentage of affordable	affordable housing to be sold to first time buyers	no	X		X
up to Larkfield	ie overlane	X			
using	not built on the Billing				X
	plus what will be done about extra	X	X		
local infrastructure	Maintenance of demographic/afflu	X			X
ice, Guiseley - Yeadon - Rawdon		X			
g at horsforth station	Astronomical car parking in Leeds	X			
met	walk in medical centre	X		X	X
					X
	more buses	X			X
uld incorporate	maintain public footpaths i.e. free	X			X
	Dentists		X	X	
ture i.e. roundabout	parking provided	X	X		
sport	adequate school places and impr	X	X		
nfrastructure, road	more doctors/dentists	X	X	X	
more youth	more control on parking around school	X			X
	bus stops	X			
		X			
medical provision			X	X	
ols	parking facilities for school	X		X	X
	Footpath links	X			X
be provided	parking	X			X
	no building -				X
ff points to local schools		X	X		
ticularly Horsforth	roundabout	X	X		
		X			
		X			
	room on the A65	X	X	X	
l road access/net	improve local amenities to reduce	X	X	X	
ings	public transport	X			
					X
hools, doctors, e	parks and green spaces made available	X	X	X	X
	another doctor practice	X	X	X	
y					X
	better transport links	X	X		X
etc to be built		X	X	X	
			X		
	traffic calming	X	X	X	
le to cope with extra demand		X	X		
streets	more landscaping/ grit bins	X			X
	speed bumps	X			X
					X
	bus stops	X	X		X
ore shops, nothing in rawdon			X		X
					X
st have services access		X			X
transport arranged	increase in school places and pro	X	X		

OF AVAILABLE S ASSESSMENT OF MEDICAL SEI	x	x		
g provision	x			x
oper contribution no "super executive' type houses for millionaires				x
				x
doctors	x	x	x	
gap between Raw school provision	x	x		x
must be approved traffic congestion must be eased	x	x		
				x
e should be in place EVERYONE in the area should be aware and no just within a mile radius				x
oads to reduce traffic problems	x	x		
ure (schools, doc New traffic measures to ease road	x	x	x	
lawdons roads	x			
reas upgrade schools	x	x		x
s leave green spaces and local wall	x	x		x
s and medical ser no loss of greenbelt nor threat of	x	x	x	x
				x
es AS alternative route to LBA	x	x		x
re already at cap The A65 and the Horsforth rounda	x			x
g - at least 2 plac Low density	x			x
ilities	x			x
il medical facilitie Railway station	x	x	x	
greenfield or gre Small, traditional style sites in kee				x
n any green land or current greenbelt				x
Decent sized gardens or green space surrounding				x
A65 and Harrog. loss of wildlife habitat	x			x
onal facilities	x			x
rove park faciliti Every home to have trees planted in gardens or developer pays for trees				x
ots so as not to cramm				x
	333	162	81	170

Greenfield sites	Cragg Wood	Knott Lane	Ivy House	Layton Lane	Canada Road	All sites
------------------	------------	------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	-----------

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

ment as it can stand, the roads are congested particularly horsforth roundabout which has x

x

x
x
x
x
protection Rawdox

x

x
x

x x
x x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x x

x

x

x

street

x

x

x

x

x
x
x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

Development

x

Site near Airedale

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

without any further development

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

ected. as a resident of 30 years rawdon is in danger of becoming heavily over crowded. traffic is already

x

t further strain on local services and increase traffic problems

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

ith housing either side of knott lane x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

it adequate

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

with the developments in Guiseley & Menston

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

ing in Rawdon

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x

x
to housing and sound engineering reasons why there must be no development

x
x

x x

x x
ew single infills

x
x x
x

x
x

x x x
x

x
f natural beauty and places of safety for wildlife. More development will destroy this.

x

x

x

x x

x

x
x

x x x x x

x

x x

x

x

x

x

x

ut I would be against the loss of allotments, nurseries etc. Area 9 could be sympathetically developed to

tra housing and business premises erected in the past. With all other building work to be yet carried out

on A 65 - I am sure that no one looks at this from a local or council level - what will happen to Rawdon

starting to have to look at green belt then we are FULL and cannot possibly accommodate more housing

ple. Self contained, not impacting on existing dwellings and with good road links.

It be ruined by over-development

lopment. What are your views on the suitability of these sites for				
Not suitable for housing	This site should be protected from building	This site is suitable for another use		Response Count
74	158	4		430
39	395	0		452
80	194	0		434
48	131	3		425
68	92	4		420
90	147	3		437
89	156	3		438
52	83	1		376
34	66	5		374
<i>answered question</i>				458
<i>skipped question</i>				28

can't parish council do more to point out large areas in south leeds available for meeting govt housing

available space. Even when complete they seem to struggle to sell, and there are always many for sa

appeal will be lost as it becomes engulfed in the never ending sprawl of Leeds. Trying to cram more t

: in Guiseley and Menston especially enough is enough. The A 65 is already bottlenecked and needs

ig which is NOT for local people but is to solve the housing crisis of an over population of immigrants

le boards up around them permanently. Fewer, smaller, individually styled properties seem to be mu

housing into what small areas are left within existing sites would again require better infrastructure th

an we have, and add to the problem of overpopulation.